Stormwater Management Report

Fort Monmouth Mod Substation

Oceanport Borough Monmouth County, New Jersey

Prepared for: Jersey Central Power & Light

Prepared by:





December 2023

Stormwater Management Report

Fort Monmouth Mod Substation

Oceanport Borough Monmouth County, New Jersey

Prepared for:

Jersey Central Power & Light

December 2023

MasTec WO# 4435

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION
1.1	Project Description1
1.2	Existing Condition Characteristics
2.0	DESIGN CRITERIA
3.0	STORMWATER QUANITY COMPLIANCE
4.0	STORMWATER QUALITY COMPLIANCE
5.0	GROUNDWATER RECHARGE COMPLIANCE
6.0	SOIL EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROLS DURING CONSTRUCTION
7.0	OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE
7.1	Construction Phase
7.2	Post Construction
8.0	SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

TABLES

TABLE 1-1:	Soil Analysis Summary	2
TABLE 3-1:	Precipitation Values & Factors	. 4
TABLE 3-2:	Development Analysis	6
TABLE 3-3A	NJAC Adjustment (current precipitation)	6
TABLE 3-3B:	NJAC Adjustments (future precipitation)	7
TABLE 3-4A:	Hydraulic Analysis Summary (current precipitation)	8
TABLE 3-4B:	Hydraulic Analysis Summary (future precipitation)	.8

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: NRCS HSG Classification APPENDIX B: Rainfall Data APPENDIX C: Stormwater Routings & Miscellaneous Calculations

- Existing Condition DA Map
- Developed Condition DA Map
- Basin Routings
- Time of Concentration Calculations
- Groundwater Recharge & Mounding Calculations

APPENDIX D: Operation & Maintenance Plan

APPENDIX E: Geotechnical Report

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed Fort Monmouth substation is located on a 2.64-acre site in Oceanport Borough, Monmouth County New Jersey. The site is located on a portion of Block 110 Lot 4. Existing site development includes an abandoned military building and associated parking and driveway. This project will include a new Electrical Substation with control house, transformers, grounding grid and underground conduits/cables. Proposed new impervious surface is 0.68 acres, and total disturbance amounts to approximately 1.2 acres; therefore, the Project is subject to NJDEP Stormwater Management Rules (NJAC 7:8).

The NJDEP Stormwater Management Rules require that the following performance standards be met:

- 1. Maintain pre-development hydrology (Rate and Volume control)
- 2. Provide Water Quality Control for new impervious surfaces and
- 3. Maintain the annual pre-development Groundwater Recharge

The purpose of this report is to demonstrate that the Project conforms to the requirements of NJAC 7:8. This Stormwater Management report will summarize the pre and post development drainage areas and land cover conditions.

1.2 EXISTING CONDITIONS

The topography of the project site generally consists of slopes ranging from 1.5% to 25%. Drainage from the site generally flows from southeast to northwest by overland flow and discharges offsite near the northwest corner of the property. All the runoff from the site eventually enters a swale area to the north/northwest and runs to a headwall with 12" and 24" culverts running from the headwall to the west below Murphy Drive.

MasTec has reviewed the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey and determined that the soils on the Project site consist of Freehold Sandy Loam (HSG B), Udorthents (HSG D) and Freehold Urban Land (HSG B). A summary of the soil composition is shown in Table

1-1. (Appendix A). The Freehold Urban Land (FrrC) soils are not affected by this project as they are located at the very eastern edge of the property which is not being disturbed.

Soil Name	Range of Slopes	Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG)
Freehold Sandy Loam (FrkB)	2%-5%	В
Udorthents (UdauB)	0%-8%	D
Freehold Urban Land (FrrC)	0%-10%	В

Table 1-1Soil Analysis Summary

The geotechnical borings completed for the design were analyzed to verify the NRCS hydrologic soil group rating.

The basin is located on the western side of the site within the HSG D soils, and this soil was consistent with geotechnical findings. The infiltration rate was found to be 0 inches/hr. based on two double ring infiltrometer tests that were performed in the stormwater management area. In order to meet groundwater recharge and green infrastructure standards, soil replacement to the seasonal highwater table is proposed for a portion of the proposed infiltration basin. The soil will be replaced below the 6" sand trench as the bottom of the infiltration basin. Soil boring data and infiltration results have been included in Appendix E.

2.0 DESIGN CRITERIA

The following design criteria have been established for the project, in accordance with the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection.

MasTec proposes to utilize the unified approach for sizing stormwater management practices to meet sediment and pollutant removal goals, reduce channel erosion and prevent overbank flooding. The following technical criteria will be met in accordance with State of New Jersey Regulations N.J.A.C 7:8:

Performance Standard #1: Maintenance of Pre-Development Hydrology (Rate and Volume control)

As per NJAC 7:8-5.6, the stormwater management system may satisfy the performance standard by demonstrating one (1) of three (3) criteria which are applicable to this particular site plan. These are:

- a. "That the post-construction runoff hydrographs for the 2, 10, and 100-year storm events do not exceed, at any point in time, the pre-construction runoff hydrographs for the same storm events"
- b. "That there is no increase, as compared to the pre-construction condition, in the peak runoff rates of stormwater leaving the site for the 2, 10, and 100-year storm events, and that the increased volume or change in timing of stormwater runoff will not increase flood damage at or downstream of the site."
- c. "That the post-construction peak runoff rates for the 2, 10, and 100-year storm events are 50, 75 and 80 percent, respectively, of the pre-construction peak runoff rates."

Performance Standard #2: Water Quality Control for New Impervious Surfaces

To satisfy the standards for stormwater quality, the design engineer is required to show a load reduction of total suspended solids (TSS) in stormwater runoff. Specifically, the water quality design storm is 1.25 inches/2 hours. As per NJAC 7:8-5.5, post-construction loads of total suspended solids (TSS) must be reduced by 80% from runoff from the site for this specified design storm. The chosen BMP must also be designed to reduce to the maximum extent possible the nutrient loads (phosphorous & nitrogen) from the post developed site.

Performance Standard #3: Groundwater Recharge

In order to satisfy the performance standard for groundwater recharge, the applicant must demonstrate one (1) of the two (2) following criteria as per NJAC 7:8-5.7:

- a. "...that the site and stormwater management measures maintain 100% of the average annual pre-construction ground water recharge volume for the site..."
- b. "...that the increase of stormwater runoff volume from pre-construction to postconstruction for the two-year storm is infiltrated."

3.0 STORMWATER QUANTITY COMPLIANCE

MasTec has utilized unit hydrograph analysis to demonstrate that NJAC 7:8 Performance Standard 1c, "That the post-construction peak runoff rates for the 2, 10, and 100-year storm events are 50, 75 and 80 percent, respectively, of the pre-construction peak runoff rates", is met.

Runoff curve numbers and times of concentration were computed using standard NRCS TR-55 methodology. Because the site is in the coastal plains physiographic region and this site is characterized by generally flat slopes (less than 5%) the Delmarva Unit Hydrogrpah was used to model the pre and post condition runoff routings.

The proposed condition hydrographs were generated for the site, using a Region D rainfall distribution. Rainfall amounts were referenced from the NOAA Atlas 14 Point Frequency Estimates. The rainfall amounts were developed for both the current precipitation values along with the future precipitation values. The future precipitation values are associated with an increased adjustment factor including 19% for both the 2- & 10-year storm events and 26% for the 100-year storm event. The 24-hour rainfall amounts for the 2-, 10- and 100-year design storms in Monmouth County are summarized in the table below for both the current and future precipitation values. Refer to Appendix B.

	2-year design storm	10-year design storm	100-year design storm
NOAA Atlas 14	3.38"	5.23"	8.94"
Rainfall Depth			
Current Precipitation	1.00	1.01	1.02
Adjustment Factor			
Adjusted Current	3.38"	5.28"	9.12"
Rainfall Depth			
Future Precipitation	1.19	1.19	1.26
Adjustment Factor			
Future Projected	4.02"	6.22"	11.26"
Rainfall Depth			

TABLE 3-1: Precipitation Values and Factors

The substation consists of two small concrete pads that the electrical equipment will sit on, and the remainder of the site will be a gravel access road and yard. The gravel area will extend to 5' outside of the fenced in substation. The time of concentration flow path for the existing condition includes overland sheet flow, shallow concentrated flow and channel flow. The high point starts near the southeast corner of the site and then runs northwest toward design point 1. The flow is ultimately

collected in a swale area offsite to the north of the property and discharges thru 12" & 24" storm sewers which runs west below Murphy Drive.

The existing curve number was generated from a combination of Building, paved parking, driveway, woods and meadow areas.

The proposed analysis was divided up into two areas, with one being the developed area of the site which will drain to the proposed stormwater management basin. The other area will be the undeveloped (bypass) area consisting mostly of offsite area to the north of the property which drains to design point 1.

The curve number (Cn) for the developed area is a combination of concrete pads, gravel substation surface, woods, grass and meadow areas. The curve number for the undeveloped (bypass) area includes meadow, grass, woods and small gravel and pavement areas. The time of concentration flow path for the developed area consists of overland (sheet) flow to the basin from the high point near the southwest corner of the site. The time of concentration flow path for the bypass area consists of overland flow and channel flow and starts at the northeast corner of the site and runs west to the design point.

The hydraulic summary presented below captures the Q_2 , Q_{10} & Q_{100} for the existing and proposed conditions:

The existing site drains to one location (DP-1).

DP-1: Drainage Area (1) consists of the entire disturbed area and some offsite area draining to the same design point. The drainage flows overland in a southeast to northwest direction and is collected just offsite to the north/northwest in a swale area. This swale area ultimately discharges to existing 12" & 24" culverts which runs west below Murphy Drive. Refer to the attached drainage area maps in Appendix C.

Only portions of the existing drainage area will be developed as part of this project. Refer to the table below for development analysis.

Condition	Design Point	Total Area (ac)	Developed Area (ac)	Un-Developed Area (ac)	% of Total Area Disturbed
POST	DP-1	2.13	1.20	0.93	56.3%

Table 3-2Development Analysis

The NJAC 7:8-5.6(b)3 states that "the (rate reduction) percentages only apply to the post construction stormwater runoff that is attributable to the portion of the site on which the proposed development or project is to be constructed. The below therefore summarizes the allowable peak runoff with rate reductions applied to the portion of the site that will be disturbed.

	Table 3-3A	
NJAC Adjus	stment (Current]	Precipitation)

Condition	2-yr storm (3.38")	10-yr storm (5.28")	100-yr storm (9.12")
Existing condition Peak Runoff	1.39 cfs	3.12 cfs	7.07 cfs
Undisturbed/Disturbed Portion Existing Condition Peak Runoff	0.61 cfs/0.78 cfs	1.36 cfs/1.76 cfs	3.09 cfs/3.98 cfs
Rate Reductions – Applied to Disturbed Areas	50%	75%	80%
Total Allowable Peak Runoff	1.00 cfs	2.68 cfs	6.27 cfs
Total Actual Peak Runoff	1.00 cfs	2.39 cfs	6.20 cfs

|--|

NJAC Adjustment (Future Precipitation)						
Condition	2-yr storm (4.02")	10-yr storm (6.22")	100-yr storm (11.26")			
Existing condition Peak Runoff	2.01 cfs	4.22 cfs	9.75 cfs			
Undisturbed/Disturbed Portion Existing Condition Peak Runoff	0.88 cfs/ 1.13 cfs	1.84 cfs/2.38 cfs	4.26 cfs/ 5.49 cfs			
Rate Reductions – Applied to Disturbed Areas	50%	75%	80%			
Total Allowable Peak Runoff	1.45 cfs	3.63 cfs	8.65 cfs			
Total Actual Peak Runoff	1.42 cfs	3.62 cfs	8.00 cfs			
Actual Runoff <= Allowable	Yes	Yes	Yes			

Table 3-3BNJAC Adjustment (Future Precipitation)

The pre-development condition to Design Pt 1 was analyzed to verify compliance with the NJAC adjusted requirements. Refer to the hydraulic analysis summary below:

11yur aune Amarysis Summary D1-1 (Ce						preaction	,
Condition	Design Point	Area	Tc	Curve	Peak Flow Rate (cfs)		
Condition	(ac)		(min)	Number	2-yr	10-yr	100-yr
POST	Undeveloped Bypass	0.97	11.2	78	0.96	2.03	4.36
POST	Developed	1.16	8.7	80	1.41	2.86	6.01
POST	Combined (DP-1)				1.00	2.39	6.20
		Allowable Flow	Post Peak (cfs)	1.00	2.68	6.27	
		Meets I Requireme	NJAC ent (Y/N)	Y	Y	Y	

Table 3-4A				
Hydraulic Analysis Summary	y DP-1 ((Current Preci	pitation)	

Table	3-4B
-------	------

Hydraulic Analysis Summary DP-1 (Future Precipitation)

Condition	Design Point	Area	Tc	T _c Curve		Peak Flow Rate (cfs)			
Condition	Design Fonte	(ac)	(min)	Number	2-yr	10-yr	100-yr		
POST	Undeveloped Bypass	0.97	10.6	78	1.34	2.65	5.80		
POST	Developed	1.16	8.22	80	1.96	3.75	7.97		
POST	Combined (DP-1)				1.42	3.62	8.00		
			Allowable Flow	Post Peak (cfs)	1.45	3.63	8.65		

Meets NJAC Requirement (Y/N)	Y	Y	Y
---------------------------------	---	---	---

In order to determine the best option for a stormwater BMP, a geotechnical analysis was performed in the designated stormwater management area to determine the feasibility of using infiltration to provide for water quality/quantity mitigation. Refer to Appendix E for geotechnical findings. The soils in the stormwater basin area are HSG D type soils so infiltration would be expected to be a challenge. Upon receipt of the geotechnical analysis, it was determined that the infiltration rate was essentially 0 in/hr. as such infiltration would not be option. It is therefore proposed that sand replacement to the seasonal high-water table be provided for a portion of the infiltration basin.

Upon further review of the geotechnical findings, the seasonal high groundwater table was determined to be approximately 6.5' to 7' down. Rock was not found during the geotechnical investigation. As required by the New Jersey BMP manual, there is more than 2' of separation between the seasonal high-water table and the proposed bottom of the 6" sand layer at the bottom of the infiltration basin.

Based on the geotechnical analysis/findings and site elevations it was determined that a small-scale infiltration basin was the best option to provide water quality, quantity and groundwater recharge mitigation. The basin will be utilizing a 6" sand bed within the basin. A setting was used in Hydrocad that routes impervious and pervious surfaces separately, then automatically combines the hydrographs (SBUH Routing Method), as required by NJAC 7:8-5.7(a)4.

The post-development runoff generally flows overland and via a swale to the proposed stormwater infiltration BMP. It is captured there, and runoff is controlled thru a combination of a 2.5" diameter orifice and a 12" wide by 5" high rectangular orifice.

There will be no increase in peak flow rate from the existing to developed condition for the 2-yr, 10yr & 100-yr storms for both the current and future precipitation runoff values. Refer to the Appendix C for detailed calculations of the pre/post development hydraulic conditions.

4.0 STORMWATER QUALITY COMPLIANCE

Typical source of non-point stormwater pollution are parking areas and roofs. While all impervious surfaces collect airborne dust, conveyance by vehicles generally results in larger concentrations in parking lots and drive aisles. Additionally, these areas collect other pollutants, such as vehicular fluid drippings, brake dust and rubber residue and refuse. Pervious surfaces collect a much lower concentration of pollutants. Overland flow, infiltration and biological activities can significantly reduce pollutant loading on pervious and vegetated surfaces.

The runoff from the substation is collected and conveyed through the gravel surface area. It will run overland before discharging to the proposed stormwater facility. Dust and fine particulates are partially captured within the gravel area. Additionally, the decrease in velocity through dynamic stone base allows for some deposition of suspended solids.

The proposed infiltration basin will provide for water quality treatment which meets the NJDEP requirements. The infiltration facility provides for 80% TSS removal. An infiltration facility also provides for a total phosphorous removal rate of 60% and total nitrogen removal rate of 30%. This substation site will be comprised mostly of gravel. The areas outside of the gravel areas will be mostly meadow so lawn areas will be limited to the swales located east and south of the project area. These areas are minimal so there won't be much if any fertilizer needed on site once vegetation is established.

5.0 GROUNDWATER RECHARGE COMPLIANCE

This site is located in the Coastal Metropolitan Planning Area (PA1) which is a planning area within an urban redevelopment area. However, because tree clearing in an "undeveloped" portion of the site is required, groundwater recharge standards need to be met. An annual groundwater recharge analysis based on the GSR-32 spreadsheet provided in the New Jersey BMP manual was performed. A post developed annual recharge deficit of 8,509 c.f. was calculated from the change in ground cover for the developed site. The designated 500 s.f. of infiltration area in the basin bottom will provide for 11,252 c.f. of annual BMP recharge volume, which exceeds the recharge deficit.

6.0 SOIL EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL DURING CONSTRUCTION

Stormwater discharges from construction activities are regulated under the *Freehold Soil Conservation District*. The discharges authorized under this act shall neither cause nor contribute to a violation of water quality standards. Proposed erosion and sediment control measures for this project will be implemented in such a way as to reduce the risk of soil loss from disturbed areas and to prevent the siltation of existing drainage channels. Measures taken during all phases of construction will be in accordance with the guidelines provided in the New Jersey Soil Conservation Commission "Standards for Soil Erosion & Sediment Control" 7th Edition January 2014 revised July 2017.

The erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented in accordance with the Contract Specifications and Drawings.

7.0 OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE

The Operation and Maintenance plan (O&M Plan) that follows is to ensure that the stormwater management policy criteria are met during both the construction phase and post developed phase of the project. Since the substation will be owned and maintained by the Jersey Central Power & Light, no easements or right of ways on site are proposed or required to ensure the operation and maintenance of the proposed facilities. There will be a utility easement running offsite for the pipe draining the outfall structure of the basin.

7.1 CONSTRUCTION PHASE

The General Contractor hired by Jersey Central Power & Light for the construction of the Ft Monmouth Substation shall be responsible for the implementation of the soil and erosion and sediment control plans, and the stabilization of the construction site after completion of the work.

The Contractor shall maintain all soil erosion and sediment control / stormwater system features

during construction in accordance with the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan.

7.2 POST CONSTRUCTION

Upon completion of construction and a final stabilization of disturbed areas, the following Best Management Practices (BMPs) shall be implemented to ensure that permanent controls continue to function as designed and intended. The BMPs will be the responsibility of Jersey Central Power & Light. See Appendix D for post construction operation and maintenance.

8.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The stormwater management plan for the Ft. Monmouth Substation Project and its associated facilities includes stormwater management practices that treat runoff from the proposed development. These practices include mitigation of water quantity, water quality, groundwater recharge and temporary and permanent erosion and sediment control measures. The proposed facilities mitigate the impacts of the site development on the quantity stormwater runoff. As such, the proposed mitigation systems have been designed in accordance with the policies, guidelines and regulations established by the Oceanport Borough, Freehold Soil Conservation District and the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection.

Appendix A



USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

	MAP L	EGEND		MAP INFORMATION
Area of Int Soils Colls Special Special	MAP L terest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI) Soil Map Unit Polygons Soil Map Unit Lines Soil Map Unit Points Point Features Blowout Borrow Pit Clay Spot	EGEND	Spoil Area Stony Spot Very Stony Spot Wet Spot Other Special Line Features atures Streams and Canals	MAP INFORMATION The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000. Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale. Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements. Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Closed Depression Gravel Pit Gravelly Spot Landfill Lava Flow Marsh or swamp Mine or Quarry Miscellaneous Water Perennial Water Rock Outcrop Saline Spot Sandy Spot	Backgrou	Interstate Highways US Routes Major Roads Local Roads <b>nd</b> Aerial Photography	<ul> <li>Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)</li> <li>Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.</li> <li>This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.</li> <li>Soil Survey Area: Monmouth County, New Jersey Survey Area Data: Version 16, Aug 30, 2022</li> <li>Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.</li> <li>Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Sep 25, 2020—Oct 15, 2020</li> <li>The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were</li> </ul>
⇒ ♦ ∅	Severely Eroded Spot Sinkhole Slide or Slip Sodic Spot			compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

USDA Natur Conse

### Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
FrkB	Freehold sandy loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	4.4	25.5%
FrrC	Freehold-Urban land complex, 0 to 10 percent slopes	4.3	25.0%
UdauB	Udorthents-Urban land complex, 0 to 8 percent slopes	8.5	49.5%
Totals for Area of Interest		17.1	100.0%



**Appendix B** 

		NEW JERS	SEY 24 HO	UR RAINF	ALL FREQ	DUENCY D	ATA
			Rainfall	amounts i	n Inches		
County	1 year	2 year	5 year	10 year	25 year	50 year	100 year
Atlantic	2.72	3.31	4.30	5.16	6.46	7.61	8.90
Bergen	2.75	3.34	4.27	5.07	6.28	7.32	8.47
Burlington	2.77	3.36	4.34	5.18	6.45	7.56	8.81
Camden	2.73	3.31	4.25	5.06	6.28	7.34	8.52
Cape May	2.67	3.25	4.22	5.07	6.34	7.47	8.73
Cumberland	2.69	3.27	4.25	5.09	6.37	7.49	8.76
Essex	2.85	3.44	4.40	5.22	6.44	7.49	8.66
Gloucester	2.71	3.29	4.24	5.05	6.29	7.36	8.55
Hudson	2.73	3.31	4.23	5.02	6.19	7.20	8.31
Hunterdon	2.80	3.38	4.26	5.00	6.09	7.02	8.03
Mercer	2.74	3.31	4.23	5.01	6.19	7.20	8.33
Middlesex	2.76	3.35	4.30	5.12	6.36	7.43	8.63
Monmouth	2.79	3.38	4.38	5.23	6.53	7.66	8.94
Morris	2.94	3.54	4.47	5.24	6.37	7.32	8.35
Ocean	2.81	3.42	4.45	5.33	6.68	7.87	9.20
Passaic	2.87	3,47	4.42	5.23	6.43	7.47	8.62
Salem	2.69	3.26	4.20	5.00	6.22	7.28	8.45
Somerset	2.76	3.34	4.25	5.01	6.15	7.13	8.21
Sussex	2.68	3.22	4.02	4.70	5.72	6.60	7.58
Union	2.80	3.39	4.35	5.17	6.42	7.49	8.69
Warren	2.78	3.34	4.18	4.89	5.93	6.83	7.82

#### Table 5-1: County-Specific, New Jersey 24-Hour Rainfall Frequency Data

Notes: The average point rainfall amounts listed above were developed from data contained in NOAA Atlas 14 Volume 2.

Point rainfall estimates for specific locations may be obtained from the Precipitation Frequency Data Server located at <a href="http://www.nws.noaa.gov/ohd/hdsc/">http://www.nws.noaa.gov/ohd/hdsc/</a>

For most hydrologic design procedures, the rainfall amounts listed above may be rounded to the nearest tenth of an inch.

# **Appendix C**



REV	DATE		DESCRIPTION		DRWN	СНК'Д	PW APP'D
 *	P PLYN OFFI JCP& EXIS	A SO DAVIS DRIV offession 450 DAVIS DRIV 000TH MEETING, F CCE NUMBER: 484-3 L – FOR TING COI	Cec al Services ^E A19462 4442161 T MONMOUTH NDITIONS DR	PROJEC 5500 I MOD SU AINAGE A	.T#: 3885 JBSTAI REA M	TION	
DRAWN B DESIGN E	I <u>Y: C</u> IY: P	P W	SCALE: NTS	DAT	E: 12/8	/21	



### Worksheet 3: Time of Concentration (Tc)

Project:	Fort Monmouth Substation Job No		By Checked	PW	_ Date	10/11/2023
Location.	Evicting		Subarea:	Frieti	ng Tel (curren	t rainfall)
	Laisung			Existi	lig fet (cutten	t Talifiali)
1.	Sheet Flow	Segment ID	A-B			1
	1. Surface Description (table 3-1)		dense grass			
	2. Manning's roughness coeff., 'n' (table 3-1)		0.240			-
	3. Flow length, L (total L $\leq$ 150 ft	ft	100			
	4. Two-year 24-hour rainfall, $P_2$	in	3.4			
	5. Land Slope, s	ft/ft	0.018			
	6. $T_t = \frac{0.007 (nL)^{0.8}}{P_2^{0.5} s^{0.4}}$	hr	0.241	0.000	0.000	0.241
2.	Shallow Concentrated Flow	Segment ID	B-C	C-D	D-E	]
	7. Surface description (Paved or Unpaved)		U	U	U	-
	8. Flow length, L	ft	50	14	166	
	9. Watercourse slope, s	ft/ft	0.018	0.200	0.018	
	10. Average velocity, V (figure 3-1)	ft/s	2.165	7.216	2.165	
	11. $T_t = \frac{L}{3600 V}$	hr	0.006	0.001	0.021	0.028
3.	Channel Flow	Segment ID	E-F			1
	12. Cross sectional flow area, a	$ft^2$	2.37			-
	13. Wetted perimeter, p _w	ft	16.2			
	14. Hydraulic radius, $r = a/p_w$	ft	0.15	0.00	0.00	
	15. Channel slope, s	ft/ft	0.014			
	16. Manning's roughness coefficient, n		0.025			
	$1.49 r^{2/3} s^{1/2}$	2.4				
	17. V =	ft/s	1 956	0.000	0.000	
	18. Flow Length, L	ft	153	0.000	0.000	-
	$19. T_{*} = $	hr	0.022	0.000	0.000	0.022

20. Total Tc For Watershed or Subarea (Add Steps 6, 11, and 19)

hr = 0.29 min = 17.46

### Worksheet 3: Time of Concentration (Tc)

Project: Location:	Fort Monmouth Substation Oceanport, NJ	Job No	By Checked	PW	Date Date	10/11/2023
	Existing		Subarea:	Existi	ing Tc1 (future	rainfall)
1.	Sheet Flow	Segment ID	A-B			7
	1. Surface Description (table 3-1)	·	dense grass			-
	2. Manning's roughness coeff., 'n' (table 3-1)		0.240			
	3. Flow length, L (total L $\leq$ 150 ft	ft	100			
	4. Two-year 24-hour rainfall, P ₂	in	4.0			7
	5. Land Slope, s	ft/ft	0.018			
	6. $T_t = \frac{0.007 (nL)^{0.8}}{P_2^{0.5} s^{0.4}}$	hr	0.221	0.000	0.000	0.221
2.	Shallow Concentrated Flow	Segment ID	B-C	C-D	D-E	7
	7. Surface description (Paved or Unpaved)		U	U	U	-
	8. Flow length, L	ft	50	14	166	-
	9. Watercourse slope, s	ft/ft	0.018	0.200	0.018	
	10. Average velocity, V (figure 3-1)	ft/s	2.165	7.216	2.165	
	11. $T_t = \frac{L}{3600 V}$	hr	0.006	0.001	0.021	0.028
3.	<u>Channel Flow</u>	Segment ID	E-F			7
	12. Cross sectional flow area, a	$\mathrm{ft}^2$	2.37			1
	13. Wetted perimeter, p _w	ft	16.2			-
	14. Hydraulic radius, $\mathbf{r} = a/p_{w}$	ft	0.15	0.00	0.00	-
	15. Channel slope, s	ft/ft	0.014			-
	16. Manning's roughness coefficient, n		0.025			
	17. V = $\frac{1.49 r^{2/3} s^{1/2}}{n}$	ft/s	1.956	0.000	0.000	
	18. Flow Length, L	ft	153			1
	19. $T_t = \frac{L}{3600 V}$	hr	0.022	0.000	0.000	0.022

20. Total Tc For Watershed or Subarea (Add Steps 6, 11, and 19)

0.27 min = 16.28

hr =

### Worksheet 3: Time of Concentration (Tc)

1. <u>Sha</u> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Proposedeet FlowSurface Description (table 3-1)Manning's roughness coeff., 'n' (table 3-1)Flow length, L (total L $\leq$ 150 ftTwo-year 24-hour rainfall, P2Land Slope, s $T_t = \frac{0.007 (nL)^{0.8}}{P_2^{0.5} s^{0.4}}$	Segment ID () ft in ft/ft hr	Subarea: A-B dense grass 0.240 100 3.4 0.065	Proposed	Tc DA1 (curr	ent rainfall)
1.         She           1.         2.           3.         4.           5.         6.	eet Flow Surface Description (table 3-1) Manning's roughness coeff., 'n' (table 3-1) Flow length, L (total L $\leq$ 150 ft Two-year 24-hour rainfall, P ₂ Land Slope, s T _t = $\frac{0.007 (\text{nL})^{0.8}}{P_2^{0.5} \text{ s}^{0.4}}$	Segment ID () ft in ft/ft hr	A-B dense grass 0.240 100 3.4 0.065			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Surface Description (table 3-1) Manning's roughness coeff., 'n' (table 3-1) Flow length, L (total L $\leq$ 150 ft Two-year 24-hour rainfall, P ₂ Land Slope, s T _t = $\frac{0.007 (\text{nL})^{0.8}}{P_2^{0.5} \text{ s}^{0.4}}$	l) ft in ft/ft hr	dense grass           0.240           100           3.4           0.065			
2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Manning's roughness coeff., 'n' (table 3- Flow length, L (total L $\leq$ 150 ft Two-year 24-hour rainfall, P ₂ Land Slope, s T _t = $\frac{0.007 (\text{nL})^{0.8}}{P_2^{0.5} \text{ s}^{0.4}}$	l) ft in ft/ft hr	0.240 100 3.4 0.065			-
3. 4. 5. 6.	Flow length, L (total L $\leq$ 150 ft Two-year 24-hour rainfall, P ₂ Land Slope, s T _t = $\frac{0.007 (nL)^{0.8}}{P_2^{0.5} s^{0.4}}$	ft in ft/ft hr	100 3.4 0.065			-
4. 5. 6.	Two-year 24-hour rainfall, P ₂ Land Slope, s $T_t = \frac{0.007 (nL)^{0.8}}{P_2^{0.5} s^{0.4}}$	in ft/ft hr	3.4 0.065			]
5. 6.	Land Slope, s $T_t = \frac{0.007 (nL)^{0.8}}{P_2^{0.5} s^{0.4}}$	ft/ft hr	0.065			
6.	$T_{t} = \frac{0.007 (nL)^{0.8}}{P_{2}^{0.5} s^{0.4}}$	hr				
			0.144	0.000	0.000	0.144
2. <u>Sha</u>	allow Concentrated Flow	Segment ID	B-C			]
7.	Surface description (Paved or Unpaved)	-	U			-
8.	Flow length, L	ft	6			1
9.	Watercourse slope, s	ft/ft	0.060			1
10.	. Average velocity, V (figure 3-1)	ft/s	3.952	0.000	0.000	
11.	$T_t = \frac{L}{3600 \text{ V}}$	hr	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
3. <u>Ch</u>	annel Flow	Segment ID	B-C			1
12.	. Cross sectional flow area, a	$\mathrm{ft}^2$	0.00			1
13.	. Wetted perimeter, p _w	ft	0.0			-
14.	. Hydraulic radius, $r = a/p_w$	ft	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
15.	. Channel slope, s	ft/ft	0.000			-
16.	. Manning's roughness coefficient, n	ľ	0.000		1	1
17.	. V = $\frac{1.49 r^{2/3} s^{1/2}}{n}$	ft/s	0.000	0.000	0.000	
18.	. Flow Length, L	ft	0	0.000	0.000	1
19.	$T_t = \frac{L}{3600 \text{ V}}$	hr	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

20. Total Tc For Watershed or Subarea (Add Steps 6, 11, and 19)

hr = 0.14 min = 8.7

### Worksheet 3: Time of Concentration (Tc)

Project: Location:	Fort Monmouth Substation Oceanport, NJ	Job No	By Checked	PW	Date Date	10/11/2023
	Proposed		Subarea:	Propose	d Tc DA1 (fut	ure rainfall)
1.	Sheet Flow	Segment ID	A-B			1
	1. Surface Description (table 3-1)		dense grass			
	2. Manning's roughness coeff., 'n' (table 3-1	.)	0.240			
	3. Flow length, L (total L $\leq$ 150 ft	ft	100			
	4. Two-year 24-hour rainfall, $P_2$	in	4.0			
	5. Land Slope, s	ft/ft	0.060			
	6. $T_t = \frac{0.007 (nL)^{0.8}}{P_2^{0.5} s^{0.4}}$	hr	0.137	0.000	0.000	0.137
2.	Shallow Concentrated Flow	Segment ID	B-C			1
	7. Surface description (Paved or Unpaved)		U		1	
	8. Flow length, L	ft	6			
	9. Watercourse slope, s	ft/ft	0.060			
	10. Average velocity, V (figure 3-1)	ft/s	3.952	0.000	0.000	
	11. $T_t = \frac{L}{3600 V}$	hr	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
3.	Channel Flow	Segment ID				]
	12. Cross sectional flow area, a	$ft^2$	0.00			
	13. Wetted perimeter, p.,	ft	0.0			
	14. Hydraulic radius, $r = a/p_{m}$	ft	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
	15. Channel slope, s	ft/ft	0.000	0.00	0.00	-
	16. Manning's roughness coefficient, n	1010	0.000			
	17. V = $\frac{1.49 r^{2/3} s^{1/2}}{r^{1/2}}$	ft/s	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	18 Flow Length L	ft	0.000	0.000	0.000	-
	19. $T_t = \frac{L}{3600 V}$	hr	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	20. Total Tc For Watershed or Subarea (	Add Steps 6, 11, and 19)			hr =	0.14

0.14 min = 8.22

### Worksheet 3: Time of Concentration (Tc)

Project: Location:	Fort Monmouth Substation Oceanport, NJ	Job No	By Checked	PW	Date Date	10/16/2023
	Proposed		Subarea:	Proposed	l Tc DA2 (curr	ent rainfall)
1.	Sheet Flow	Segment ID	D-E			]
	1. Surface Description (table 3-1)		dense grass			1
	2. Manning's roughness coeff., 'n' (table 3-1	)	0.240			
	3. Flow length, L (total L $\leq$ 150 ft	ft	54			McCu
	4. Two-year 24-hour rainfall, P ₂	in	3.4			1
	5. Land Slope, s	ft/ft	0.018			1
	6. $T_t = \frac{0.007 (nL)^{0.8}}{P_2^{0.5} s^{0.4}}$	hr	0.149	0.000	0.000	0.149
2.	Shallow Concentrated Flow	Segment ID	E-F			]
	7. Surface description (Paved or Unpaved)		U			1
	8. Flow length, L	ft	120			1
	9. Watercourse slope, s	ft/ft	0.013			
	10. Average velocity, V (figure 3-1)	ft/s	1.840	0.000	0.000	
	$11. T_t = \frac{L}{3600 V}$	hr	0.018	0.000	0.000	0.018
3.	Channel Flow	Segment ID	F-G			]
	12. Cross sectional flow area, a	$ft^2$	0.68			1
	13. Wetted perimeter, $p_w$	ft	4.2			1
	14. Hydraulic radius, $r = a/p_w$	ft	0.16	0.00	0.00	1
	15. Channel slope, s	ft/ft	0.016			1
	16. Manning's roughness coefficient, n		0.025			1
	17. V = $\frac{1.49 r^{2/3} s^{1/2}}{n}$	ft/s	2 236	0.000	0.000	1
	18. Flow Length, L	ft	153	0.000	0.000	1
	$19. T_t = \frac{L}{3600 V}$	hr	0.019	0.000	0.000	0.019
	20. Total Tc For Watershed or Subarea (	Add Steps 6, 11, and 19)			hr =	0.19

0.19 min = 11.2

### Worksheet 3: Time of Concentration (Tc)

Project: Location:	Fort Monmouth Substation J Oceanport, NJ	ob No.	By Checked	PW	Date Date	10/11/2023
	Proposed		Subarea:	Prop	osed Tc DA2	- future
1.	Sheet Flow	Segment ID	D-E			1
	1. Surface Description (table 3-1)		dense grass			1
	2. Manning's roughness coeff., 'n' (table 3-1)		0.240			
	3. Flow length, L (total L $\leq$ 150 ft	ft	54			McCuen-W
	4. Two-year 24-hour rainfall, P ₂	in	4.0			
	5. Land Slope, s	ft/ft	0.017			
	6. $T_t = \frac{0.007 (nL)^{0.8}}{P_2^{0.5} s^{0.4}}$	hr	0.138	0.000	0.000	0.138
2.	Shallow Concentrated Flow	Segment ID	E-F			1
	7. Surface description (Paved or Unpaved)	-	U			
	8. Flow length, L	ft	126			
	9. Watercourse slope, s	ft/ft	0.013			
	10. Average velocity, V (figure 3-1)	ft/s	1.840	0.000	0.000	
	11. $T_t = \frac{L}{3600 V}$	hr	0.019	0.000	0.000	0.019
3.	<u>Channel Flow</u>	Segment ID	F-G			]
	12. Cross sectional flow area, a	$ft^2$	0.68			
	13. Wetted perimeter, $p_{w}$	ft	4.2			
	14. Hydraulic radius, $r = a/p_w$	ft	0.16	0.00	0.00	
	15. Channel slope, s	ft/ft	0.016			
	16. Manning's roughness coefficient, n	ľ	0.025			
	17. V = $\frac{1.49 r^{2/3} s^{1/2}}{n}$	ft/s	2.236	0.000	0.000	
	18. Flow Length, L	ft	153			1
	19. $T_t = \frac{L}{3600 V}$	hr	0.019	0.000	0.000	0.019
	20. Total Tc For Watershed or Subarea (Ad	dd Steps 6, 11, and 19)			hr =	0.18

0.18 min = 10.57



Subcat

Reach

Pond

Link

Routing Diagram for 231218 SWM Calcs Prepared by ACT Engineers, Inc., Printed 12/20/2023 HydroCAD® 10.00-26 s/n 07741 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Runoff = 1.39 cfs @ 12.31 hrs, Volume= 0.229 af, Depth= 1.29"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 2-Year (Current) Rainfall=3.38"

	Area (ac)	CN	Description			
*	0.110	98	Building			
*	0.190	98	Pavement			
*	0.280	60	Woods, HSG E	3		
*	1.190	78	Meadow, HSG	D		
*	0.360	58	Meadow, HSG	В		
	2.130	2.130 75 Weighted Average		age		
	1.830	1.830 71 85.92% Pe		us Area		
	0.300	0.300 98 14.08% Impervious Area		ious Area/		
	Tc Leng (min) (fe	gth et)	Slope Velocity (ft/ft) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description	
	17.5				Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs	

### Subcatchment 1S: Exisiting Conditions (current)



Runoff = 3.12 cfs @ 12.30 hrs, Volume= 0.481 af, Depth= 2.71"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 10-Year (Current) Rainfall=5.28"

	Area (ac)	CN	Descrip	ption		
*	0.110	98	Buildin	g		
*	0.190	98	Pavem	nent		
*	0.280	60	Woods	s, HSG B	5	
*	1.190	78	Meado	w, HSG	D	
*	0.360	58	Meado	w, HSG	В	
	2.130 75 Weighted Average		age			
	1.830 71 0.300 98		85.92%	6 Pervio	us Area	
			14.08% Impervious Area			
Tc Length (min) (feet		gth et)	Slope V (ft/ft)	/elocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	17 5					Direct Entry, See To Cales

**Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs** 

### Subcatchment 1S: Exisiting Conditions (current)



Runoff = 4.36 cfs @ 12.30 hrs, Volume= 0.665 af, Depth= 3.75"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 25-Year (Atlas14) Rainfall=6.53"

	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	0.110	98	Build	ling		
*	0.190	98	Pave	ement		
*	0.280	60	Woo	ds, HSG E	3	
*	1.190	78	Mea	dow, HSG	D	
*	0.360	58	Mea	dow, HSG	В	
	2.130	2.130 75		ghted Aver	age	
	1.830 71		85.92% Pervious Area			
	0.300	0.300 98 14.08% Imperv		vious Area		
	Tc Len (min) (fe	ngth eet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	17.5		(14,14)	(14000)	(0.0)	Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs





Runoff = 7.07 cfs @ 12.29 hrs, Volume= 1.071 af, Depth= 6.03"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 100-Year (Current) Rainfall=9.12"

	Area (ac)	CN	Descr	ription		
*	0.110	98	Buildi	ng		
*	0.190	98	Paver	nent		
*	0.280	60	Wood	s, HSG B	5	
*	1.190	78	Mead	ow, HSG	D	
*	0.360	58	Mead	ow, HSG	В	
	2.130 75 Weighted Average		age			
	1.830 71 0.300 98		85.92	% Pervio	us Area	
			14.08% Impervious Area			
Tc Length (min) (feet)		gth et)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
				(	(010)	Direct Fratmy Occ. To Oclas

**Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs** 

### Subcatchment 1S: Exisiting Conditions (current)




Runoff = 2.01 cfs @ 12.28 hrs, Volume= 0.309 af, Depth= 1.74"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 2-Year (Future) Rainfall=4.02"

	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	0.110	98	Build	ding		
*	0.190	98	Pave	ement		
*	0.280	60	Woo	ds, HSG E	3	
*	1.190	78	Mea	dow, HSG	D	
*	0.360	58	Mea	dow, HSG	В	
	2.130	75	Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	1.830	71	85.9	2% Pervio	us Area	
	0.300	98	14.0	8% Imperv	vious Area	
	Tc Len	igth	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min) (fe	eet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	16.3					Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs





Runoff = 4.22 cfs @ 12.27 hrs, Volume= 0.619 af, Depth= 3.49"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 10-Year (Future) Rainfall=6.22"

	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	0.110	98	Build	ding		
*	0.190	98	Pave	ement		
*	0.280	60	Woo	ds, HSG E	3	
*	1.190	78	Mea	dow, HSG	D	
*	0.360	58	Mea	dow, HSG	В	
	2.130	75	Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	1.830	71	85.9	2% Pervio	us Area	
	0.300	98	14.0	8% Imperv	vious Area	
	Tc Len	igth	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min) (fe	eet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	16.3					Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs





Runoff = 4.54 cfs @ 12.27 hrs, Volume= 0.665 af, Depth= 3.75"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 25-Year (Atlas14) Rainfall=6.53"

	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	ription			
*	0.110	98	Build	ing			
*	0.190	98	Pave	ement			
*	0.280	60	Woo	ds, HSG E	5		
*	1.190	78	Mead	dow, HSG	D		
*	0.360	58	Mead	dow, HSG	В		
	2.130	75	Weig	hted Aver	age		
	1.830	71	85.92	2% Pervio	us Area		
	0.300	98	14.08	3% Imperv	vious Area		
	Tc Len (min) (fe	gth	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description	
	16.3			(1000)	(00)	Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs	





Runoff = 9.75 cfs @ 12.27 hrs, Volume= 1.420 af, Depth= 8.00"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 100-Year (Future) Rainfall=11.26"

_	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	0.110	98	Build	ling		
*	0.190	98	Pave	ement		
*	0.280	60	Woo	ds, HSG E	3	
*	1.190	78	Mea	dow, HSG	D	
*	0.360	58	Mea	dow, HSG	В	
	2.130	75	Weig	phted Aver	age	
	1.830 71		85.92% Pervious Area			
	0.300 98		14.08% Impervious Area		vious Area	
	Tc Leng (min) (fee	gth et)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	16.2					Direct Entry, See To Color



**Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs** 

## Subcatchment 2S: Exisiting Conditions (future)





#### Summary for Subcatchment 3S: DA1 Prop Basin (current)

Runoff = 1.41 cfs @ 12.18 hrs, Volume= 0.154 af, Depth= 1.59"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 2-Year (Current) Rainfall=3.38"

	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	0.080	98	Cond	crete Pads	, HSG B/D	
*	0.300	85	Grav	el, HSG B		
*	0.200	91	Grav	el, HSG D	1	
*	0.050	55	Woo	ds (Good)	, HSG B	
*	0.160	78	Mea	dow, HSG	D	
*	0.060	61	Gras	s (Good),	HSG B	
*	0.220	80	Gras	s (Good),	HSG D	
*	0.090	58	Mea	dow, HSG	В	
	1.160	80	Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	1.080	79	93.1	0% Pervio	us Area	
	0.080	98	6.90	% Impervi	ous Area	
	Tc Len	gth	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	<u>(min)</u> (fe	eet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	8.7					Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs

# Subcatchment 3S: DA1 Prop Basin (current)



#### Summary for Subcatchment 5S: DA2 Prop Bypass (current)

Runoff = 0.96 cfs @ 12.22 hrs, Volume= 0.118 af, Depth= 1.45"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 2-Year (Current) Rainfall=3.38"

	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	ription			
*	0.030	58	Mead	low, HSG	В		
*	0.690	78	Mead	low, HSG	D		
*	0.020	77	Wood	ds (Good)	, HSG D		
*	0.040	91	Grave	el, HSG Ď			
*	0.060	98	Pave	ment, HS	G B/D		
*	0.080	61	Grass	s (Good),	HSG B		
*	0.050	80	Grass	s (Good),	HSG D		
	0.970	78	Weig	hted Aver	age		
	0.910	77	93.81	% Pervio	us Area		
	0.060	0.060 98 6		% Impervio	ous Area		
To Length Slope Velocity Car			Velocitv	Capacity	Description		
	(min) (fe	et)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
	11.2					Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs	

# Subcatchment 5S: DA2 Prop Bypass (current)



#### Summary for Pond 4P: Proposed Infiltration Basin (current)

Inflow Area	a =	1.160 ac,	6.90% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 1.	59" for 2-Year (Current) event
Inflow	=	1.41 cfs @	12.18 hrs, Volume=	0.154 af	
Outflow	=	0.14 cfs @	14.14 hrs, Volume=	· 0.125 af,	Atten= 90%, Lag= 117.5 min
Primary	=	0.14 cfs @	14.14 hrs, Volume=	• 0.125 af	-

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 14.37' @ 14.14 hrs Surf Area= 4,749 sf Storage= 3,755 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 436.8 min calculated for 0.125 af (81% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 354.3 min (1,203.1 - 848.9)

Volume	Inve	ert Avail.Sto	orage Storage	e Description		
#1	13.5	50' 21,3	15 cf Custor	n Stage Data (Pi	r <b>ismatic)</b> Listed below	
Elevatio	on	Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store		
(fee	et)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)		
13.5	50	3,483	0	0		
14.0	00	4,201	1,921	1,921		
15.0	00	5,678	4,940	6,861		
16.0	00	7,213	6,446	13,306		
17.0	00	8,804	8,009	21,315		
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Device	es		
#1	Primary	13.50'	<b>12.0" Roun</b> Inlet / Outlet n= 0.013, Fl	<b>d Culvert</b> L= 165 Invert= 13.50' / 1 ow Area= 0.79 sf	5.0' Ke= 0.500 0.91' S= 0.0157 '/' ( f	Cc= 0.900
#2	Device 1	13.81'	2.5" Vert. Or	rifice/Grate C=	0.600	
#3	Device 1	14.33'	12.0" W x 5.	0" H Vert. Orific	e/Grate C= 0.600	
Primary		Max=0.14 cfs (	⑦ 14 14 hrs H	W=14.37' (Free	Discharge)	

rimary OutFlow Max=0.14 cfs @ 14.14 hrs HW=14.37' (Free Discharge) -**1=Culvert** (Passes 0.14 cfs of 2.31 cfs potential flow)

-2=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.11 cfs @ 3.26 fps)

-3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.03 cfs @ 0.65 fps)



# Pond 4P: Proposed Infiltration Basin (current)

## Summary for Link 6L: Analysis Pt 1 (current)

Inflow A	rea =	2.130 ac,	6.57% Impervious, In	flow Depth > 1.36"	for 2-Year (Current) event
Inflow	=	1.00 cfs @	12.22 hrs, Volume=	0.242 af	
Primary	=	1.00 cfs @	12.22 hrs, Volume=	0.242 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link 6L: Analysis Pt 1 (current)

#### Summary for Subcatchment 3S: DA1 Prop Basin (current)

Runoff = 2.86 cfs @ 12.18 hrs, Volume= 0.308 af, Depth= 3.18"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 10-Year (Current) Rainfall=5.28"

	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	0.080	98	Con	crete Pads	, HSG B/D	
*	0.300	85	Grav	/el, HSG B		
*	0.200	91	Grav	/el, HSG D	1	
*	0.050	55	Woo	ds (Good)	, HSG B	
*	0.160	78	Mea	dow, HSG	D	
*	0.060	61	Gras	ss (Good),	HSG B	
*	0.220	80	Gras	ss (Good),	HSG D	
*	0.090	58	Mea	dow, HSG	В	
	1.160	80	Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	1.080	79	93.1	0% Pervio	us Area	
	0.080	98	6.90	% Impervi	ous Area	
	Tc Ler	ngth	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	<u>(min)</u> (f	eet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	8.7					Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs

# Subcatchment 3S: DA1 Prop Basin (current)



#### Summary for Subcatchment 5S: DA2 Prop Bypass (current)

Runoff = 2.03 cfs @ 12.21 hrs, Volume= 0.242 af, Depth= 2.99"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 10-Year (Current) Rainfall=5.28"

	Area (ac)	CN	Descri	iption			
*	0.030	58	Meado	ow, HSG	В		
*	0.690	78	Meado	ow, HSG	D		
*	0.020	77	Woods	s (Good)	, HSG D		
*	0.040	91	Grave	I, HSG Ó			
*	0.060	98	Paven	nent, HS	G B/D		
*	0.080	61	Grass	(Good),	HSG B		
*	0.050	80	Grass	(Good),	HSG D		
	0.970	78	Weigh	ted Aver	age		
	0.910	77	93.819	% Pervio	us Area		
	0.060	98	6.19%	Impervio	ous Area		
To Length Slope Velocity Capacity					Canacity	Description	
	(min) (fe	et)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	Description	
_			(1011)	(10000)	(013)		
	11.2					Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs	

#### Subcatchment 5S: DA2 Prop Bypass (current)



#### Summary for Pond 4P: Proposed Infiltration Basin (current)

Inflow Are	ea =	1.160 ac,	6.90% Impervious, Inflow	/ Depth = 3.18"	for 10-Year (Current) event
Inflow	=	2.86 cfs @	12.18 hrs, Volume=	0.308 af	
Outflow	=	1.04 cfs @	12.63 hrs, Volume=	0.278 af, Atte	en= 64%, Lag= 27.3 min
Primary	=	1.04 cfs @	12.63 hrs, Volume=	0.278 af	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 14.76' @ 12.63 hrs Surf.Area= 5,318 sf Storage= 5,657 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 274.8 min calculated for 0.278 af (91% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 226.0 min (1,055.9 - 829.9)

Volume	Inve	ert Avail.Sto	rage Storage	Description		
#1	13.5	50' 21,3	15 cf Custom	Stage Data (Pr	ismatic)Listed below	,
Elevatio	on	Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store		
(fee	et)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)		
13.5	50	3,483	0	0		
14.(	00	4,201	1,921	1,921		
15.0	00	5,678	4,940	6,861		
16.0	00	7,213	6,446	13,306		
17.0	00	8,804	8,009	21,315		
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Device	S		
#1	Primary	13.50'	<b>12.0" Round</b> Inlet / Outlet I n= 0.013, Flo	l <b>Culvert</b> L= 165 nvert= 13.50' / 10 w Area= 0.79 sf	5.0' Ke= 0.500 0.91' S= 0.0157 '/'	Cc= 0.900
#2	Device 1	13.81'	2.5" Vert. Ori	fice/Grate C= (	0.600	
#3	Device 1	14.33'	12.0" W x 5.0	" H Vert. Orifice	e/Grate C= 0.600	
Primary	OutFlow	Max=1.04 cfs (	@ 12.63 hrs HV	V=14.76' (Free	Discharge)	

-1=Culvert (Passes 1.04 cfs of 3.29 cfs potential flow)

**2=Orifice/Grate** (Orifice Controls 0.15 cfs @ 4.42 fps)

-3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.89 cfs @ 2.13 fps)



# Pond 4P: Proposed Infiltration Basin (current)

## Summary for Link 6L: Analysis Pt 1 (current)

Inflow /	Area =	2.130 ac,	6.57% Impervious, Inflow	Depth > 2.93"	for 10-Year (Current) event
Inflow	=	2.39 cfs @	12.31 hrs, Volume=	0.520 af	
Primar	y =	2.39 cfs @	12.31 hrs, Volume=	0.520 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link 6L: Analysis Pt 1 (current)

#### Summary for Subcatchment 3S: DA1 Prop Basin (current)

Runoff = 3.90 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.416 af, Depth= 4.30"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 25-Year (Atlas14) Rainfall=6.53"

	Area (a	ac) C	N D	Desc	ription		
*	0.0	980	8 0	Cond	rete Pads	, HSG B/D	
*	0.3	300 8	5 (	Grav	el, HSG B		
*	0.2	200 9	1 (	Grav	el, HSG D	)	
*	0.0	)50 5	5 V	Noo	ds (Good)	, HSG B	
*	0.1	60 7	'8 N	Nead	dow, HSG	D	
*	0.0	)60 6	i1 (	Gras	s (Good),	HSG B	
*	0.2	220 8	0 C	Gras	s (Good),	HSG D	
*	0.0	)90 5	1 8	Nead	dow, HSG	В	
	1.1	60 8	0 V	Neig	hted Aver	age	
	1.0	)80 7	9 9	93.1	0% Pervio	us Area	
	0.0	980 9	8 6	5.90 [°]	% Impervi	ous Area	
	Тс	Length	Slo	pe	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft	:/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	8.7						Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs

# Subcatchment 3S: DA1 Prop Basin (current)



#### Summary for Subcatchment 5S: DA2 Prop Bypass (current)

Runoff = 2.78 cfs @ 12.21 hrs, Volume= 0.331 af, Depth= 4.09"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 25-Year (Atlas14) Rainfall=6.53"

	Area (ac)	CN	Descrip	otion			
*	0.030	58	Meadov	w, HSG	В		
*	0.690	78	Meadov	w, HSG	D		
*	0.020	77	Woods	(Good),	HSG D		
*	0.040	91	Gravel,	HSG D			
*	0.060	98	Paveme	ent, HS0	G B/D		
*	0.080	61	Grass (	Good),	HSG B		
*	0.050	80	Grass (	Good),	HSG D		
	0.970	78	Weighte	ed Avera	age		
	0.910	77	93.81%	Perviou	us Area		
	0.060	98	6.19% l	Impervic	ous Area		
	Tc Length		Slope V	elocity	Capacity	Description	
_	11.0		(1010) (	10360)	(013)	Direct Entry, See To Colos	
	11.2					Direct Entry, See IC Calcs	

# Subcatchment 5S: DA2 Prop Bypass (current)



#### Summary for Pond 4P: Proposed Infiltration Basin (current)

Inflow A	rea =	1.160 ac,	6.90% Impervious, Inflo	ow Depth = 4.30"	for 25-Year (Atlas14) event
Inflow	=	3.90 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume=	0.416 af	
Outflow	=	1.57 cfs @	12.57 hrs, Volume=	0.387 af, Atte	en= 60%, Lag= 23.9 min
Primary	=	1.57 cfs @	12.57 hrs, Volume=	0.387 af	-

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 15.03' @ 12.57 hrs Surf.Area= 5,727 sf Storage= 7,068 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 226.2 min calculated for 0.387 af (93% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 188.0 min (1,009.4 - 821.4)

Volume	Inve	ert Avail.Sto	rage Storage	Description				
#1	13.5	50' 21,3	15 cf Custom	Stage Data (Pr	ismatic)Listed below			
Elevatio	on	Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store				
(fee	et)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)				
13.5	50	3,483	0	0				
14.(	00	4,201	1,921	1,921				
15.0	00	5,678	4,940	6,861				
16.0	00	7,213	6,446	13,306				
17.0	00	8,804	8,009	21,315				
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	3				
#1	Primary	13.50'	<b>12.0" Round</b> Inlet / Outlet Ir n= 0.013, Flor	<b>Culvert</b> L= 165 overt= 13.50' / 1 w Area= 0.79 sf	5.0' Ke= 0.500 0.91' S= 0.0157 '/' Cc= 0.900			
#2	Device 1	13.81'	2.5" Vert. Ori	fice/Grate C=	0.600			
#3	Device 1	14.33'	12.0" W x 5.0	" H Vert. Orifice	e/Grate C= 0.600			
Primary	Primary OutFlow Max=1.57 cfs @ 12.57 hrs HW=15.03' (Free Discharge)							

-1=Culvert (Passes 1.57 cfs of 3.84 cfs potential flow)

**2=Orifice/Grate** (Orifice Controls 0.17 cfs @ 5.09 fps)

-3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 1.40 cfs @ 3.36 fps)



# Pond 4P: Proposed Infiltration Basin (current)

## Summary for Link 6L: Analysis Pt 1 (current)

Inflow /	Area =	2.130 ac,	6.57% Impervious, Inflow	Depth > 4.04"	for 25-Year (Atlas14) event
Inflow	=	3.89 cfs @	12.26 hrs, Volume=	0.717 af	
Primary	y =	3.89 cfs @	12.26 hrs, Volume=	0.717 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



## Link 6L: Analysis Pt 1 (current)

#### Summary for Subcatchment 3S: DA1 Prop Basin (current)

Runoff = 6.01 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.649 af, Depth= 6.72"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 100-Year (Current) Rainfall=9.12"

	Area (a	ic) CN	Dese	cription		
*	0.08	80 98	Con	crete Pads	, HSG B/D	
*	0.30	00 85	Grav	/el, HSG B		
*	0.20	00 91	Grav	/el, HSG D	)	
*	0.05	50 55	Woo	ds (Good)	, HSG B	
*	0.16	60 78	Mea	dow, HSG	D	
*	0.06	60 61	Gras	ss (Good),	HSG B	
*	0.22	20 80	Gras	ss (Good),	HSG D	
*	0.09	90 58	Mea	dow, HSG	В	
	1.16	.160 80 Weighted Average		age		
	1.08	80 79	93.1	0% Pervio	us Area	
	0.08	80 98	6.90	% Impervi	ous Area	
	Tc L	_ength	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	8.7					Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs

#### Subcatchment 3S: DA1 Prop Basin (current)



#### Summary for Subcatchment 5S: DA2 Prop Bypass (current)

Runoff = 4.36 cfs @ 12.21 hrs, Volume= 0.523 af, Depth= 6.47"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 100-Year (Current) Rainfall=9.12"

	Area (ac)	CN	Description			
*	0.030	58	Meadow, HS	G B		
*	0.690	78	Meadow, HS	G D		
*	0.020	77	Woods (Goo	d), HSG D		
*	0.040	91	Gravel, HSG	Ď		
*	0.060	98	Pavement, H	SG B/D		
*	0.080	61	Grass (Good	), HSG B		
*	0.050	80	Grass (Good	), HSG D		
	0.970	78	Weighted Av	erage		
	0.910	77	93.81% Perv	ious Area		
	0.060	98	6.19% Imper	vious Area		
	Tc Leng	gth	Slope Velocity	/ Capacity	Description	
_	<u>(min)</u> (fe	et)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec	) (cfs)		
	11.2				Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs	

# Subcatchment 5S: DA2 Prop Bypass (current)



#### Summary for Pond 4P: Proposed Infiltration Basin (current)

Inflow Area	a =	1.160 ac,	6.90% Impervious, Inf	flow Depth = 6.72	for 100-Year (Current) event
Inflow	=	6.01 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume=	0.649 af	
Outflow	=	2.23 cfs @	12.60 hrs, Volume=	0.620 af, A	tten= 63%, Lag= 25.5 min
Primary	=	2.23 cfs @	12.60 hrs, Volume=	0.620 af	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 15.56' @ 12.60 hrs Surf.Area= 6,535 sf Storage= 10,457 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 173.2 min calculated for 0.620 af (95% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 148.5 min (957.1 - 808.7)

Volume	Inve	ert Avail.Sto	rage Storage	Description	
#1	13.5	i0' 21,3°	15 cf Custom	Stage Data (Pr	rismatic)Listed below
Elevatio	on	Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	
(fee	et)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	
13.5	50	3,483	0	0	
14.0	00	4,201	1,921	1,921	
15.0	00	5,678	4,940	6,861	
16.0	00	7,213	6,446	13,306	
17.0	00	8,804	8,009	21,315	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	6	
#1	Primary	13.50'	<b>12.0" Round</b> Inlet / Outlet In n= 0.013, Flo	<b>Culvert</b> L= 165 nvert= 13.50' / 10 w Area= 0.79 sf	5.0' Ke= 0.500  0.91' S= 0.0157 '/' Cc= 0.900 f
#2	Device 1	13.81'	2.5" Vert. Ori	fice/Grate C= (	0.600
#3	Device 1	14.33'	12.0" W x 5.0	" H Vert. Orifice	<b>e/Grate</b> C= 0.600
Primary	OutFlow	Max=2.23 cfs (	ᡚ 12.60 hrs HV	V=15.56' (Free	Discharge)

-1=Culvert (Passes 2.23 cfs of 4.66 cfs potential flow)

**2=Orifice/Grate** (Orifice Controls 0.21 cfs @ 6.17 fps)

-3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 2.02 cfs @ 4.85 fps)



# Pond 4P: Proposed Infiltration Basin (current)

## Summary for Link 6L: Analysis Pt 1 (current)

Inflow /	Area =	2.130 ac,	6.57% Impervious,	Inflow Depth >	6.44"	for 100	0-Year (Current) e	event
Inflow	=	6.20 cfs @	12.22 hrs, Volume	= 1.143	af			
Primar	y =	6.20 cfs @	12.22 hrs, Volume	= 1.143	af, Atte	en= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min	

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link 6L: Analysis Pt 1 (current)



#### Summary for Subcatchment 7S: DA1 Prop Basin (future)

Runoff = 1.96 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.203 af, Depth= 2.10"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 2-Year (Future) Rainfall=4.02"

Area (ac)	CN	Desci	ription		
0.080	98	Conc	rete Pads	, HSG B/D	
0.300	85	Grave	el, HSG B		
0.200	91	Grave	el, HSG D		
0.050	55	Wood	ls (Good)	, HSG B	
0.160	78	Mead	low, HSG	D	
0.060	61	Grass	s (Good),	HSG B	
0.220	80	Grass	s (Good),	HSG D	
0.090	58	Mead	low, HSG	В	
1.160	80	Weigl	hted Aver	age	
1.080	79	93.10	% Pervio	us Area	
0.080	98	6.90%	6 Impervi	ous Area	
Tc Leng	gth	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
<u>(min) (fe</u>	et)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
8.2					Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs
	Area (ac) 0.080 0.300 0.200 0.050 0.160 0.060 0.220 0.090 1.160 1.080 0.080 Tc Leng (min) (fe 8.2	Area (ac)  CN    0.080  98    0.300  85    0.200  91    0.050  55    0.160  78    0.060  61    0.220  80    0.090  58    1.160  80    1.080  79    0.080  98    Tc  Length    (min)  (feet)    8.2	Area (ac)  CN  Desc    0.080  98  Conc    0.300  85  Grave    0.200  91  Grave    0.050  55  Wood    0.160  78  Mead    0.060  61  Grass    0.220  80  Grass    0.220  80  Grass    0.220  80  Grass    0.090  58  Mead    1.160  80  Weigi    1.080  79  93.10    0.080  98  6.90%    Tc  Length  Slope    (min)  (feet)  (ft/ft)    8.2  50  50	Area (ac)  CN  Description    0.080  98  Concrete Pads    0.300  85  Gravel, HSG B    0.200  91  Gravel, HSG D    0.050  55  Woods (Good)    0.160  78  Meadow, HSG    0.060  61  Grass (Good),    0.220  80  Grass (Good),    0.220  80  Grass (Good),    0.220  80  Grass (Good),    0.200  58  Meadow, HSG    1.160  80  Weighted Averance    1.080  79  93.10%    0.080  98  6.90%    Tc  Length  Slope  Velocity    (min)  (feet)  (ft/ft)  (ft/sec)	Area (ac)  CN  Description    0.080  98  Concrete Pads, HSG B/D    0.300  85  Gravel, HSG B    0.200  91  Gravel, HSG D    0.050  55  Woods (Good), HSG B    0.160  78  Meadow, HSG D    0.060  61  Grass (Good), HSG B    0.200  80  Grass (Good), HSG B    0.200  80  Grass (Good), HSG B    0.220  80  Grass (Good), HSG D    0.090  58  Meadow, HSG B    1.160  80  Weighted Average    1.080  79  93.10% Pervious Area    0.080  98  6.90% Impervious Area    0.080  98  6.90% Impervious Area    1.160  Keet  Keet    0.080  98  6.90% Impervious Area    0.080  98  6.90% Impervious Area    0.82  Ket  Ket

# Subcatchment 7S: DA1 Prop Basin (future)



#### Summary for Subcatchment 9S: DA2 Prop Bypass (future)

Runoff = 1.34 cfs @ 12.21 hrs, Volume= 0.158 af, Depth= 1.95"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 2-Year (Future) Rainfall=4.02"

	Area (ac)	CN	Description		
*	0.030	58	Meadow, HSG	В	
*	0.690	78	Meadow, HSG	D	
*	0.020	77	Woods (Good)	, HSG D	
*	0.040	91	Gravel, HSG D	)	
*	0.060	98	Pavement, HS	G B/D	
*	0.080	61	Grass (Good),	HSG B	
*	0.050	80	Grass (Good),	HSG D	
	0.970	78	Weighted Aver	age	
	0.910	77	93.81% Pervio	us Area	
	0.060	98	6.19% Impervi	ous Area	
	Tc Leng	gth S	Slope Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min) (fe	et)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	10.6				Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs
					• •

# Subcatchment 9S: DA2 Prop Bypass (future)



#### Summary for Pond 8P: Proposed Infiltration Basin (future)

Inflow /	Area =	1.160 ac,	6.90% Impervious,	Inflow Depth =	2.10" foi	r 2-Year (Future) event
Inflow	=	1.96 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume	= 0.203 a	af	
Outflov	v =	0.36 cfs @	13.07 hrs, Volume	= 0.174 a	af, Atten=	82%, Lag= 54.2 min
Primar	y =	0.36 cfs @	13.07 hrs, Volume	= 0.174 a	af	-

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 14.50' @ 13.07 hrs Surf.Area= 4,946 sf Storage= 4,414 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 362.5 min calculated for 0.174 af (86% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 296.7 min (1,137.2 - 840.5)

Volume	Inve	ert Avail.Sto	rage Storage	Description				
#1	13.5	50' 21,3	15 cf Custom	n Stage Data (Pr	ismatic)Listed below			
Elevatio	on	Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store				
(fee	et)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)				
13.5	50	3,483	0	0				
14.0	00	4,201	1,921	1,921				
15.0	00	5,678	4,940	6,861				
16.0	00	7,213	6,446	13,306				
17.0	00	8,804	8,009	21,315				
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Device	S				
#1	Primary	13.50'	<b>12.0" Round</b> Inlet / Outlet I n= 0.013, Flo	<b>12.0" Round Culvert</b> L= 165.0' Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 13.50' / 10.91' S= 0.0157 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013 Flow Area= 0.79 sf				
#2	Device 1	13.81'	2.5" Vert. Ori	2.5" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600				
#3	Device 1	14.33'	12.0" W x 5.0	" H Vert. Orifice	e/Grate C= 0.600			
Primary	OutFlow	Max=0.36 cfs (	බ 13.07 hrs H\	N=14.50' (Free	Discharge)			

**Trimary OutFlow** Max=0.36 cfs @ 13.07 hrs HW=14.50' (Free Discharge) **1=Culvert** (Passes 0.36 cfs of 2.69 cfs potential flow)

**2=Orifice/Grate** (Orifice Controls 0.13 cfs @ 3.70 fps)

-3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.23 cfs @ 1.34 fps)

HydroCAD® 10.00-26 s/n 07741 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC



# Pond 8P: Proposed Infiltration Basin (future)

## Summary for Link 10L: Analysis Pt 1 (future)

Inflow Area	a =	2.130 ac,	6.57% Imp	ervious,	Inflow D	epth >	1.87"	for 2-	Year (	Future)	event
Inflow	=	1.42 cfs @	12.21 hrs,	Volume	=	0.332	af				
Primary	=	1.42 cfs @	12.21 hrs,	Volume	=	0.332	af, Atte	en= 0%	, Lag	= 0.0 miı	n

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link 10L: Analysis Pt 1 (future)

#### Summary for Subcatchment 7S: DA1 Prop Basin (future)

Runoff = 3.75 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.389 af, Depth= 4.02"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 10-Year (Future) Rainfall=6.22"

Area (ac)	CN	Desc	ription		
0.080	98	Conc	rete Pads	, HSG B/D	
0.300	85	Grave	el, HSG B		
0.200	91	Grave	el, HSG D	I	
0.050	55	Wood	ds (Good)	, HSG B	
0.160	78	Mead	low, HSG	D	
0.060	61	Grass	s (Good),	HSG B	
0.220	80	Grass	s (Good),	HSG D	
0.090	58	Meac	low, HSG	В	
1.160	80	Weig	hted Aver	age	
1.080	79	93.10	)% Pervio	us Area	
0.080	98	6.90%	% Impervi	ous Area	
Tc Leng	gth	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
<u>(min) (fe</u>	et)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
8.2					Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs
	Area (ac) 0.080 0.300 0.200 0.050 0.160 0.060 0.220 0.090 1.160 1.080 0.080 Tc Leng <u>min) (fe</u> 8.2	Area (ac)  CN    0.080  98    0.300  85    0.200  91    0.050  55    0.160  78    0.060  61    0.220  80    0.090  58    1.160  80    1.080  79    0.080  98    Tc  Length    min)  (feet)    8.2	Area (ac)  CN  Desc    0.080  98  Conc    0.300  85  Grave    0.200  91  Grave    0.050  55  Wood    0.160  78  Mead    0.060  61  Grass    0.200  58  Mead    0.060  61  Grass    0.220  80  Grass    0.090  58  Mead    1.160  80  Weig    1.080  79  93.10    0.080  98  6.909    Tc  Length  Slope    (min)  (feet)  (ft/ft)    8.2  50  50	Area (ac)  CN  Description    0.080  98  Concrete Pads    0.300  85  Gravel, HSG B    0.200  91  Gravel, HSG D    0.050  55  Woods (Good)    0.160  78  Meadow, HSG    0.060  61  Grass (Good),    0.220  80  Grass (Good),    0.090  58  Meadow, HSG    1.160  80  Weighted Aver    1.080  79  93.10% Pervior    0.080  98  6.90% Impervior    0.080  98  6.90% Impervior    0.1080  98  6.90% Impervior    0.82  8.2  98	Area (ac)CNDescription0.08098Concrete Pads, HSG B/D0.30085Gravel, HSG B0.20091Gravel, HSG D0.05055Woods (Good), HSG B0.16078Meadow, HSG D0.06061Grass (Good), HSG B0.22080Grass (Good), HSG D0.09058Meadow, HSG B1.16080Weighted Average1.0807993.10% Pervious Area0.080986.90% Impervious AreaTcLengthSlopeVelocitymin)(feet)(ft/ft)(ft/sec)8.2

# Subcatchment 7S: DA1 Prop Basin (future)



#### Summary for Subcatchment 9S: DA2 Prop Bypass (future)

Runoff = 2.65 cfs @ 12.20 hrs, Volume= 0.308 af, Depth= 3.81"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 10-Year (Future) Rainfall=6.22"

	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	ription		
*	0.030	58	Mead	dow, HSG	В	
*	0.690	78	Mead	dow, HSG	D	
*	0.020	77	Woo	ds (Good)	, HSG D	
*	0.040	91	Grav	el, HSG D	1	
*	0.060	98	Pave	ment, HS	G B/D	
*	0.080	61	Gras	s (Good),	HSG B	
*	0.050	80	Gras	s (Good),	HSG D	
	0.970	78	Weig	hted Aver	age	
	0.910	77	93.8	1% Pervio	us Area	
	0.060	98	6.199	% Impervi	ous Area	
	Tc Leng	gth	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	<u>(min)</u> (fe	et)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	10.6					Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs
						-

# Subcatchment 9S: DA2 Prop Bypass (future)



#### Summary for Pond 8P: Proposed Infiltration Basin (future)

Inflow	Area =	1.160 ac,	6.90% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 4	.02" for	10-Year (Future) event
Inflow	=	3.75 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume	= 0.389 af		
Outflow	w =	1.47 cfs @	12.56 hrs, Volume	= 0.360 af	, Atten= 6	1%, Lag= 23.4 min
Primar	ry =	1.47 cfs @	12.56 hrs, Volume	= 0.360 af		

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 14.97' @ 12.56 hrs Surf.Area= 5,634 sf Storage= 6,714 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 234.5 min calculated for 0.359 af (92% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 195.6 min (1,018.2 - 822.5)

Volume	Inv	ert Avail.Sto	rage Storage	Description				
#1	13.5	50' 21,3	15 cf Custon	n Stage Data (Pr	rismatic)Listed below			
Elevatio	on	Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store				
(fee	et)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)				
13.5	50	3,483	0	0				
14.0	00	4,201	1,921	1,921				
15.0	00	5,678	4,940	6,861				
16.0	00	7,213	6,446	13,306				
17.0	00	8,804	8,009	21,315				
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Device	s				
#1	Primary	13.50'	<b>12.0" Round</b> Inlet / Outlet I n= 0.013, Flo	<b>12.0" Round Culvert</b> L= 165.0' Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 13.50' / 10.91' S= 0.0157 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013 Flow Area= 0.79 sf				
#2	Device 1	13.81'	2.5" Vert. Or	ifice/Grate C=	0.600			
#3	Device 1	14.33'	12.0" W x 5.0	)" H Vert. Orifice	e/Grate C= 0.600			
Primary	/ OutFlow	Max=1.47 cfs (	@ 12.56 hrs H	W=14.97' (Free	e Discharge)			

-1=Culvert (Passes 1.47 cfs of 3.72 cfs potential flow)

-2=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.17 cfs @ 4.95 fps)

-3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 1.30 cfs @ 3.13 fps)



# Pond 8P: Proposed Infiltration Basin (future)

Page 10

## Summary for Link 10L: Analysis Pt 1 (future)

Inflow /	Area =	2.130 ac,	6.57% Impervious, In	flow Depth > 3.76"	for 10-Year (Future) event
Inflow	=	3.62 cfs @	12.26 hrs, Volume=	0.668 af	
Primar	y =	3.62 cfs @	12.26 hrs, Volume=	0.668 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link 10L: Analysis Pt 1 (future)
### Summary for Subcatchment 7S: DA1 Prop Basin (future)

Runoff = 4.00 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.416 af, Depth= 4.30"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 25-Year (Atlas14) Rainfall=6.53"

	Area (a	ac) C	N	Desc	cription				
*	0.0	80 9	8	Cond	Concrete Pads, HSG B/D				
*	0.3	8 00	35	Grav	el, HSG B				
*	0.2	00 9	91	Grav	el, HSG D	1			
*	0.0	50 5	55	Woo	ds (Good)	, HSG B			
*	0.1	60 7	78	Mea	dow, HSG	D			
*	0.0	60 6	61	Gras	s (Good),	HSG B			
*	0.2	20 8	30	Gras	Grass (Good), HSG D				
*	0.0	90 5	58	Meadow, HSG B					
	1.1	60 8	30	Weig	hted Aver	age			
	1.0	80 7	'9	93.10% Pervious Area					
	0.0	80 9	8	6.90	% Impervi	ous Area			
	Tc I	Length	S	lope	Velocity	Capacity	Description		
	(min)	(feet)		(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)			
	8.2						Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs		

### Subcatchment 7S: DA1 Prop Basin (future)



### Summary for Subcatchment 9S: DA2 Prop Bypass (future)

Runoff = 2.84 cfs @ 12.20 hrs, Volume= 0.331 af, Depth= 4.09"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 25-Year (Atlas14) Rainfall=6.53"

	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	ription			
*	0.030	58	Mead	dow, HSG	В		
*	0.690	78	Mead	dow, HSG	D		
*	0.020	77	Woo	ds (Good)	, HSG D		
*	0.040	91	Grav	el, HSG Ď	1		
*	0.060	98	Pave	ment, HS	G B/D		
*	0.080	61	Gras	s (Good),	HSG B		
*	0.050	80	Gras	s (Good),	HSG D		
_	0.970	78	Weig	hted Aver	age		
	0.910	77	93.8	, 1% Pervio	us Area		
	0.060	98	6.19	% Impervio	ous Area		
	Tc Len	gth	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
	<u>(min)</u> (fe	eet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
	10.6					Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs	

### Subcatchment 9S: DA2 Prop Bypass (future)



### Summary for Pond 8P: Proposed Infiltration Basin (future)

Inflow Are	ea =	1.160 ac,	6.90% Impervious, Inflov	v Depth = 4.30"	for 25-Year (Atlas14) event
Inflow	=	4.00 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume=	0.416 af	
Outflow	=	1.58 cfs @	12.56 hrs, Volume=	0.387 af, Atte	en= 61%, Lag= 23.3 min
Primary	=	1.58 cfs @	12.56 hrs, Volume=	0.387 af	-

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 15.04' @ 12.56 hrs Surf.Area= 5,734 sf Storage= 7,096 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 224.7 min calculated for 0.386 af (93% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 188.0 min (1,008.6 - 820.6)

Volume	Inve	ert Avail.Sto	rage Storage	Description		
#1	13.5	50' 21,3	15 cf Custom	i Stage Data (Pri	ismatic)Listed below	
Elevatio	on	Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store		
(fee	et)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)		
13.5	50	3,483	0	0		
14.(	00	4,201	1,921	1,921		
15.0	00	5,678	4,940	6,861		
16.0	00	7,213	6,446	13,306		
17.(	00	8,804	8,009	21,315		
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Device	s		
#1	Primary	13.50'	<b>12.0" Round</b> Inlet / Outlet I n= 0.013, Flo	l <b>Culvert</b> L= 165 nvert= 13.50' / 10 w Area= 0.79 sf	5.0' Ke= 0.500 0.91' S= 0.0157 '/' (	Cc= 0.900
#2	Device 1	13.81'	2.5" Vert. Ori	fice/Grate C= 0	0.600	
#3	Device 1	14.33'	12.0" W x 5.0	" H Vert. Orifice	<b>Grate</b> C= 0.600	
Primary	OutFlow	Max=1.58 cfs @	2) 12.56 hrs HV	V=15.04' (Free	Discharge)	

-1=Culvert (Passes 1.58 cfs of 3.85 cfs potential flow)

**2=Orifice/Grate** (Orifice Controls 0.17 cfs @ 5.10 fps)

-3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 1.40 cfs @ 3.37 fps)



### Pond 8P: Proposed Infiltration Basin (future)

### Summary for Link 10L: Analysis Pt 1 (future)

Inflow <i>J</i>	Area =	2.130 ac,	6.57% Impervious, Inflov	v Depth > 4.04"	for 25-Year (Atlas14) event
Inflow	=	3.96 cfs @	12.24 hrs, Volume=	0.717 af	
Primar	y =	3.96 cfs @	12.24 hrs, Volume=	0.717 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



### Link 10L: Analysis Pt 1 (future)

### Summary for Subcatchment 7S: DA1 Prop Basin (future)

Runoff = 7.97 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.847 af, Depth= 8.76"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 100-Year (Future) Rainfall=11.26"

	Area (ac	) CN	Desc	cription		
*	0.080	0 98	Con	crete Pads	, HSG B/D	
*	0.300	0 85	Grav	vel, HSG B		
*	0.200	0 91	Grav	vel, HSG D	)	
*	0.050	0 55	Woo	ds (Good)	, HSG B	
*	0.160	0 78	Mea	dow, HSG	D	
*	0.060	0 61	Gras	s (Good),	HSG B	
*	0.220	0 80	Gras	s (Good),	HSG D	
*	0.090	0 58	Mea	dow, HSG	В	
	1.160	0 80	Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	1.080	0 79	93.1	0% Pervio	us Area	
	0.080	0 98	6.90	% Impervi	ous Area	
	Tc Le	ength	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min) (	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	8.2					Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs

### Subcatchment 7S: DA1 Prop Basin (future)



### Summary for Subcatchment 9S: DA2 Prop Bypass (future)

Runoff = 5.80 cfs @ 12.20 hrs, Volume= 0.687 af, Depth= 8.50"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NOAA 24-hr D 100-Year (Future) Rainfall=11.26"

	Area (ac)	CN	Description			
*	0.030	58	Meadow, H	SG B		
*	0.690	78	Meadow, H	SG D		
*	0.020	77	Woods (Go	od), HSG D		
*	0.040	91	Gravel, HS	GĎ		
*	0.060	98	Pavement,	HSG B/D		
*	0.080	61	Grass (Goo	od), HSG B		
*	0.050	80	Grass (Goo	od), HSG D		
	0.970	78	Weighted A	verage		
	0.910	77	93.81% Pe	rvious Area		
	0.060	98	6.19% Imp	ervious Area		
	Tc Leng (min) (fe	gth et)	Slope Veloc (ft/ft) (ft/se	tity Capacity (cfs)	Description	
	10.6	,		_,,	Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs	

### Subcatchment 9S: DA2 Prop Bypass (future)



### Summary for Pond 8P: Proposed Infiltration Basin (future)

Inflow Are	a =	1.160 ac,	6.90% Impervious, I	nflow Depth =	8.76" for	100-Year (Future) event
Inflow	=	7.97 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume=	0.847	af	
Outflow	=	2.66 cfs @	12.61 hrs, Volume=	0.818	af, Atten=6	67%, Lag= 26.6 min
Primary	=	2.66 cfs @	12.61 hrs, Volume=	0.818	af	-

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 16.00' @ 12.61 hrs Surf.Area= 7,212 sf Storage= 13,301 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 153.2 min calculated for 0.818 af (97% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 132.5 min ( 932.9 - 800.3 )

Volume	Inve	ert Avail.Sto	rage Storage	e Description		
#1	13.5	50' 21,3	15 cf Custon	n Stage Data (Pri	ismatic)Listed below	
Elevatio	on	Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store		
(fee	et)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)		
13.5	50	3,483	0	0		
14.(	00	4,201	1,921	1,921		
15.0	00	5,678	4,940	6,861		
16.0	00	7,213	6,446	13,306		
17.(	00	8,804	8,009	21,315		
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Device	es		
#1	Primary	13.50'	<b>12.0" Round</b> Inlet / Outlet n= 0.013, Flo	<b>d Culvert</b> L= 165 Invert= 13.50' / 10 ow Area= 0.79 sf	.0' Ke= 0.500 ).91' S= 0.0157 '/' (	Cc= 0.900
#2	Device 1	13.81'	2.5" Vert. Or	ifice/Grate C= 0	).600	
#3	Device 1	14.33'	12.0" W x 5.0	0" H Vert. Orifice	/Grate C= 0.600	
Primary	<b>OutFlow</b>	Max=2.66 cfs (	D 12.61 hrs H	W=16.00' (Free	Discharge)	

-1=Culvert (Passes 2.66 cfs of 4.93 cfs potential flow)

**2=Orifice/Grate** (Orifice Controls 0.24 cfs @ 6.95 fps)

-3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 2.42 cfs @ 5.81 fps)



### Pond 8P: Proposed Infiltration Basin (future)

### Summary for Link 10L: Analysis Pt 1 (future)

Inflow /	Area =	2.130 ac,	6.57% Impervious, I	nflow Depth > 8	8.48" for	100-Year (Future) event
Inflow	=	8.00 cfs @	12.21 hrs, Volume=	1.505 at	F	
Primar	y =	8.00 cfs @	12.21 hrs, Volume=	1.505 at	f, Atten=	0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



### Link 10L: Analysis Pt 1 (future)



### Summary for Subcatchment 3S: DA1 Prop Basin (current)

Runoff = 0.40 cfs @ 1.22 hrs, Volume= 0.021 af, Depth= 0.21"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NJ DEP 2-hr WQDS Rainfall=1.25"

	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	cription			
*	0.080	98	Cond	crete Pads	, HSG B/D		
*	0.300	85	Grav	el, HSG B			
*	0.200	91	Grav	el, HSG D	I		
*	0.050	55	Woo	ds (Good)	, HSG B		
*	0.160	78	Mea	dow, HSG	D		
*	0.060	61	Gras	s (Good),	HSG B		
*	0.220	80	Gras	Grass (Good), HSG D			
*	0.090	58	Mea	dow, HSG	В		
	1.160	80	Weig	hted Aver	age		
	1.080	79	93.1	0% Pervio	us Area		
	0.080	98	6.90% Impervious Area		ous Area		
		nth	Slone	Velocity	Canacity	Description	
	(min) (fee	et)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	Description	
	8.7	/	()	(1200)	(0.0)	Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs	
						•	

### Subcatchment 3S: DA1 Prop Basin (current)



### Summary for Subcatchment 5S: DA2 Prop Bypass (current)

Runoff = 0.23 cfs @ 1.27 hrs, Volume= 0.014 af, Depth= 0.17"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NJ DEP 2-hr WQDS Rainfall=1.25"

	Area (ac)	CN	Descript	tion		
*	0.030	58	Meadow	v, HSG	В	
*	0.690	78	Meadow	v, HSG	D	
*	0.020	77	Woods (	(Good)	HSG D	
*	0.040	91	Gravel,	HSG D		
*	0.060	98	Paveme	ent, HS	G B/D	
*	0.080	61	Grass (0	Good),	HSG B	
*	0.050	80	Grass (C	Good),	HSG D	
	0.970	78	Weighte	d Aver	age	
	0.910	77	93.81%	Pervio	us Area	
	0.060	98	6.19% Ir	mpervio	ous Area	
	Tc Len	gth	Slope Ve	elocity	Capacity	Description
	<u>(</u> min) (fe	et)	<u>(ft/ft)</u> (f	ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	11.2					Direct Entry, See Tc Calcs

### Subcatchment 5S: DA2 Prop Bypass (current)



### Summary for Pond 4P: Proposed Infiltration Basin (current)

Inflow Area	=	1.160 ac,	6.90% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 0	).21" for	WQDS event
Inflow	=	0.40 cfs @	1.22 hrs, Volume=	= 0.021 af	f	
Outflow	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volume=	= 0.000 af	f, Atten= 1	00%, Lag= 0.0 min
Primary	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volume=	= 0.000 af	f	-

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 13.73' @ 3.00 hrs Surf.Area= 3,819 sf Storage= 900 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated: initial storage exceeds outflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= (not calculated: no outflow)

Volume	Inve	ert Avail.Sto	rage Storage	e Description		
#1	13.5	50' 21,3	15 cf Custon	n Stage Data (Pri	i <b>smatic)</b> Listed below	v
Elevatio	on	Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store		
(fee	et)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)		
13.5	50	3,483	0	0		
14.(	00	4,201	1,921	1,921		
15.0	00	5,678	4,940	6,861		
16.0	00	7,213	6,446	13,306		
17.0	00	8,804	8,009	21,315		
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Device	es		
#1	Primary	13.50'	<b>12.0" Round</b> Inlet / Outlet n= 0.013, Flo	<b>d Culvert</b> L= 165 Invert= 13.50' / 10 ow Area= 0.79 sf	.0' Ke= 0.500 ).91' S= 0.0157 '/'	Cc= 0.900
#2	Device 1	13.81'	2.5" Vert. Or	ifice/Grate C= 0	).600	
#3	Device 1	14.33'	12.0" W x 5.0	0" H Vert. Orifice	/Grate C= 0.600	
Primary	OutFlow	Max=0.00 cfs (	2) 0.00 hrs HW	/=13.50' (Free D	)ischarge)	

-1=Culvert (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-2=Orifice/Grate (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-3=Orifice/Grate (Controls 0.00 cfs)

10 12 14 16 18 20

22

24 26 28

Time (hours)

30

32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50

0 2 4 6 8



### Pond 4P: Proposed Infiltration Basin (current)

### Summary for Link 6L: Analysis Pt 1 (current)

Inflow A	Area	=	2.130 ac,	6.57% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = $($	0.08" for WC	DS event
Inflow		=	0.23 cfs @	1.27 hrs, Volume	= 0.014 a	ıf	
Primary	у	=	0.23 cfs @	1.27 hrs, Volume	= 0.014 a	If, Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-50.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



### Link 6L: Analysis Pt 1 (current)

### New Jersey Department of Agriculture

### Hydrologic Modeling Database - Data Entry Form

Basin Outlet Structure(s)

ID. PROPOSED INFILTRATION BASIN

End of Pipe Location: Northing: 538, 444 Easting: 621, 134

Discharge Type (weir, orifice, etc)	Dimensions (diameter, length)	Elevation (USGS)	Discharge Coefficient	Equation Used
Deifice	2.5" DIA.	1381	0.6	ORIFICE ECS
DEIFICE	12"Wx5"H	14.33	0.6	ORIFICE EQ

Basin Stage-Discharge Rating Table¹²

Elevation (USGS Feet)	Storage (Acre-Ft)	Total Outlet Structure Discharge (cfs)
13.50/BANN BE	1) 0.000	0.00
141.000	0.044	0.05
14.50	0.101	0.35
15.00	0.158	1. 52
15.50	0.2 31	Zee 1 th
16.00	0.305	2.66
16.50	0,307	3.07
17.00	0.489	3,44

NJDA-HMD Form 2014

New Jerse	ey ater	Annual Groundwater Recharge Analysis (based on GSR-32)					Project Name:	MasTec - Ft	Monmou	th Substatio		
Recharge Spreadshe Version 2.0	eet	Select Township $\downarrow$	Average Annual P (in)	Climatic Factor					Description:	230222-00		
November	2003	MONMOUTH CO., OCEANPORT BORO	47.4	1.55		_			Analysis Date:	12/20/23		
		Pre-Developed Cond	litions						Post-Developed	d Conditions		
Land Segment	Area (acres)	TR-55 Land Cover	Soil	Annual Recharge (in)	Annual Recharge (cu.ft)		Land Segment	Area (acres)	TR-55 Land Cover	Soil	Annual Recharge (in)	Annual Recharge (cu.ft)
1	0.3	Impervious areas	Freehold	0.0	-		1	0.3	Gravel, dirt	Freehold	9.8	10,640
2	0.28	Woods	Freehold	15.9	16,206		2	0.24	Gravel, dirt	Udorthents	0.0	-
3	1.19	Meadow, Pasture, Grassland or range	Udorthents	0.0	-		3	0.05	Woods	Freehold	15.9	2,894
4	0.36	Meadow, Pasture, Grassland or range	Freehold	15.8	20,645		4	0.85	dow, Pasture, Grassland or ra	Udorthents	0.0	-
5	0						5	0.14	Open space	Freehold	15.6	7,926
6	0						6	0.27	Open space	Udorthents	0.0	-
7	0						7	0.12	dow, Pasture, Grassland or ra	Freehold	15.8	6,882
8	0						8	0.02	Woods	Udorthents	0.0	-
9	0						9	0.14	Impervious areas	Udorthents	0.0	-
10	0						10	0				
11	0						11	0				
12	0						12	0				
13	0						13	0				
14	0						14	0				
15	0						15	0				
Total =	2.1			Total Annual Recharge (in)	Total Annual Recharge (cu-ft)		Total = 2.1			Total Annual Recharge (in)	Total Annual Recharge (cu.ft)	
				4.8	36,851		Annual	Recharg	ge Requirements Calculati	ion ↓	3.7	28,342
Procedure	to fill the	Pre-Development and Post-Development Cor	nditions Tables			% of Pre-	Developed	Annual Re	echarge to Preserve =	100%	Impervious Area (sq.ft)	6,098
For each land	l segment, fir	st enter the area, then select TR-55 Land Cover, then selec	t Soil. Start from the	top of the table		Post-D	evelopm	ent Ann	ual Recharge Deficit=	8,509	(cubic feet)	
and proceed	downward. D	on't leave blank rows (with A=0) in between your segment e	ntries. Rows with A=0	will not be		Recha	irge Effici	ency Pa	rameters Calculations (ar	ea averages)		
displayed or u	used in calcu	lations. For impervious areas outside of standard lots select	t "Impervious Areas" a	as the Land Cove	r.	RWC=	1.35	(in)	DRWC=	0.12	(in)	
Soil type for i	mpervious ar	eas are only required if an infiltration facility will be built with	nin these areas.			ERWC =	0.30	(in)	EDRWC=	0.03	(in)	

Project Name	_	Description	on		Analysis	s Date BMP or L		ID Type				
MasTec - Ft Monme	outh Su	230222-00	)		12/20/23		Infiltration Ba	sin				
Recharge BMP Input Pa	arameters			Root Zone Water cap	oacity Calcu	lated Param	ieters	<b>Recharge Design Pa</b>	rameters			
Parameter Parameter	<u>Symbol</u>	<u>Value</u>	<u>Unit</u>	Parameter Parameter	Symbol	<u>Value</u>	Unit	Parameter	<u>Symbol</u>	<u>Value</u>	Unit	
BMP Area	ABMP	500.0	sq.ft	Empty Portion of RWC under Post-D Natural Recharge	ERWC	0.00	in	Inches of Runoff to capture	Qdesign	0.07	in	
BMP Effective Depth, this is the design variable	dBMP	3.5	in	ERWC Modified to consider dEXC	EDRWC	0.00	in	Inches of Rainfall to capture	Pdesign	0.12	in	
Upper level of the BMP surface (negative if above ground)	dBMPu	38.5	in	Empty Portion of RWC under Infilt. BMP	RERWC	0.00	in	Recharge Provided Avg. over Imp. Area		5.3	in	
Depth of lower surface of BMP, must be>=dBMPu	dEXC	42.0	in		<u>.</u>	·	·	Runoff Captured Avg. over imp. Area		5.3	in	
Post-development Land Segment Location of BMP, Input Zero if Location is distributed or undetermined	SegBMP	6	unitless									
				<b>BMP Calculated Size</b>	Parameter	'S		CALCULATION C	HECK MES	SAGES		
				ABMP/Aimp BMP Volume	Aratio VBMP	0.02	unitless cu.ft	Volume Balance-> dBMP Check>	Solve Probl	em to satisf	fy Annu	al Recharge
Parameters from Annua	l Recharg	e Worksheet		System Performance	Calculated	Parameters		dEXC Check>	ок			
Post-D Deficit Recharge (or desired recharge volume)	Vdef	8,509	cu.ft	Annual BMP Recharge Volume		11,252	cu.ft	BMP Location>	ок			
Post-D Impervious Area (or target Impervious Area)	Aimp	25,265	sq.ft	Avg BMP Recharge Efficiency		100.0%	Represents % Infiltration Recharged	OTHER NOTES				
Root Zone Water Capacity	RWC	0.00	in	%Rainfall became Runoff		78.2%	%	Pdesign is accurate only afte	r BMP dimension	ns are updated t	o make re	ch volume= deficit volume.
RWC Modified to consider dEXC	DRWC	0.00	in	%Runoff Infiltrated		14.4%	%	of BMP infiltration prior to filli	ng and the area o	occupied by BM	P are igno	red in these calculations. R
Climatic Factor	C-factor	1.55	no units	%Runoff Recharged		59.7%	%	sensetive to dBMP, make su	re dBMP selected	d is small enoug	h for BMP	to empty in less than 3 day
	Pavo	47.4	in	%Rainfall Recharged		46.7%	%	Segment Location of BMP if	vou select "imper	vious areas" R\	NC will be	minimal but not zero as de
Average Annual P	i uvg			Recharged			78	5	, i	nous areas in		

and "Aimp" on this page. This allows solution for a single BMP to handle the entire recharge requirement assuming the runoff from entire impervious area is available to the BMP. To solve for a smaller BMP or a LID-IMP to recharge only part of the recharge requirement, set Vdef to your target value and Aimp to impervious area directly connected to your infiltration facility and then solve for ABMP or dBMP. To go back to the default configuration clik the "Default Vdef & Aimp" button.

Fort Monmouth Mod Substation Oceanport, NJ Groundwister Mounding Calculations

5.00	R
0.150	Sy
25.00	Kh
105.000	х
1.200	У
4.32	t
10.00	hi(0)

10.639

0.639

Ground-water

Recharge rate (permeability rate) (in/hr) Specific yield, Sy (dimensionless) default value is 0.15; max value is 0.2 provided that a lab test data is submitted Horizontal hydraulic conductivity (in/hr) Kh = 5xRecharge Rate (R) in the costal plan; Kh=R outside the coastal plan 1/2 length of basin (x direction, in feet) 1/2 width of basin (y direction, in feet) Duration of infiltration period (hours) Initial thickness of saturated zone (feet)

Maximum thickness of saturated zone (beneath center of basin at end of infiltration period) Maximum groundwater mounding (beneath center of basin at end of infiltration period)

Mounding, in feet	direction, in feet	
0.639	0	- Andrewski -
0.639	10	1.16
0.638	20	2 martine
0.638	30	
0.636	40	
0.632	50	
0.624	60	
0.608	70	
0.580	80	
0.528	90	

h(max)

Δh(max)

center of basin in x

Distance from

### **Re-Calculate Now**



#### Disclaimer

This spreadsheet solving the Hantush (1967) equation for ground-water mounding beneath an infiltration basin is made available to the general public as a convenience for those wishing to replicate values documented in the USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2010-5102 "Groundwater mounding beneath hypothetical stormwater infiltration basins" or to calculate values based on user-specified site conditions. Any changes made to the spreadsheet (other than values identified as user-specified) after transmission from the USGS could have unintended, undesirable consequences. These consequences could include, but may not be limited to: erroneous output, numerical instabilities, and violations of underlying assumptions that are inherent in results presented in the accompanying USGS published report. The USGS assumes no responsibility for the consequences of any changes made to the spreadsheet. If changes are made to the spreadsheet, the user is responsible for documenting the changes and justifying the results and conclusions.

### ENGINEERING DIVISION **HMI**SERVICES ARCHITECTURAL/ENGINEERING SERVICES TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS A HENKEL5 & MCCOY Group Company SHEET NO. OF BY____ DATE____ SUBJECT_FORT MONMOUTH CHKD. BY ____ DATE ____ GROUNDWATER MOUNDING JOB NO. PERMEABILITY RATE ! ASSUME 10" I HR (SAND REPLACEMENT) R= 10" /HR/2 = 5" / HR (SAFETY FACTOR OF 2) Sy = 0.15 (DEFAULT) KE = 5"/HR & 5 = 25"/HR (COASTAL RLAINS REGION) BASIN INFILTRATION AREA = 500 S.F. CAPIROXIMATE DIMENSIONS 210' × 2,4' $X = 210.12 \pm 05$ Y = 2.4/2 = 1.2'Wa DESIGN STORM VOLUME = 900 GF. (FROM HYDROGRAPH ROUTINGS) E= 12"/FT × 900 C.F. = 4.32 hrs LESS THAN 72 hrs so OKV 500 S.F. × 5 11/1+R RESOL Dhmox = 0.64 BASIN BOTTOM = 13.5 OBSERVED SHWT = 10.0 MOUNDED SHWT 10.64 50 OKV -

**Appendix D** 



# Post Construction Operation & Maintenance Document

### PART I - GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

Responsible Party (Permitee):

Company: Jersey Central Power & Light (JCP&L) Address: 101 Crawfords Corner Rd Holmdel, NJ 07733 Phone Number: 732-212-4203 Email Address: kjamieson@firstenergycorp.com Property: Portion of Block 110 Lot 4, Oceanport, NJ

### Legal Instrument

- The legal instrument (for long term BMP O&M) must be recorded upon the deed of record for the property.
- The party may transfer responsibility for the stormwater maintenance plan to anyone who purchases the property. This transfer must include a copy of any ordinance or regulation that requires the owner to dedicate the stormwater management measures and maintenance. Any changes in the name, address and/or telephone number of the individual(s) responsible for the operation and maintenance of the infiltration basin shall be incorporated into this document.

### Purpose

This document shall serve as the guideline for routine operation and maintenance of the small scale infiltration basin for the Fort Monmouth Substation. This manual applies only to the above referenced facility located on Block 110 Lot 4 (portion of ) and any utility easements dedicated to Oceanport Township.

### Basic Inspections and Maintenance

Inspections of the structural components of the facility shall occur a minimum of annually. Inspector shall inspect for cracking, spalling, trash, erosion and general deterioration. Inspections of components which receive and or trap sediment shall be occur a minimum of 4 times per year so once each quarter. Components shall be inspected for build up or clogging cause be sediment deposition.

Maintenance of the stormwater management facilities shall be performed as required based on the inspection results. Maintenance of the facility is essential to ensure basin is functioning as intended and providing the water quality and quantity mitigation for the substation site.

Grass should be mowed at least twice per month during the growing season with a hand mower, unless dry weather conditions allow for once per month. Equipment shall not be used to cut vegetation in order to prevent compaction of the basin. Vegetated areas must be inspected at least annually for erosion and scour. All unwanted underbrush and tree growth shall be removed a minimum of annually.

When establishing or restoring vegetation, bi-weekly inspections of vegetation growth should be performed during the first growing season or until the vegetation is established. Once established, inspections of vegetation health, density and diversity should be performed during the growing and non-growing seasons at least twice annually. The vegetative cover should be maintained at 85%. If vegetation has greater than 50% damage, the area should be re-established in accordance with the original specifications and the inspection requirements presented above.

Disposal of trash, debris, sediment and other waste material shall be done at approved disposal or recycling site in compliance with all local, county, state and federal regulations.

All use of fertilizers, mechanical treatments, pesticides and other means to assure optimum vegetation health must not compromise the intended purpose of the infiltration basin. All vegetation deficiencies should be addressed without the use of fertilizers and pesticides whenever possible..

The infiltration basin should be inspected at least twice annually. If water fails to infiltrate 72 hours after the end of the water quality design storm, corrective measures must be taken.

### PART II - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

A detailed log of all maintenance activities performed on the infiltration basin shall be kept by JCP&L. The log shall include the date, maintenance activity performed and by whom. Below shows a typical table that should be provided:

Date	Maintenance Personnel	Activity Performed	Notes

The NJDEP Stormwater Management Rules require that the following procedures be followed:

- 1. Copies of the maintenance plan must be provided to the owner and operator of the stormwater management facility. Copies must also be submitted to all reviewing agencies as part of each agency's approval process. In addition, a copy should be provided to the local mosquito control or extermination commission upon request.
- 2. The title and date of the maintenance plan and the name, address and telephone number of the person with maintenance responsibility as specified in the plan must be recorded on the deed of the property on which the facility is located. Any change in this information (such as a change in property ownership) must also be recorded on the deed.
- 3. The person with maintenance responsibility must evaluate the maintenance plan for effectiveness at least annually and update as required.
- 4. A detailed written log of all preventative and corrective maintenance performed at the facility must be kept including a record of all inspections and copies of maintenance related work orders.
- 5. The person with maintenance responsibility must retain and, upon request, make available the maintenance plan and associated logs and other records for review by a public entity with administrative, health, environmental or safety authority over the site.

### **Designated Personnel**

The following list represents the inspectors, contractor and professional engineers designated by JCP&L who will be involved with the inspection and maintenance of the small scale infiltration basin.

Personnel	Contact Name	Contact Number
Inspector	Kevin Jamieson	732-212-4203
Contractors		

Professional Engineer	
Owners Rep	

### Plans & Documentation

Enclosed plans and documents should be reviewed prior to any inspection or maintenance activity taking place.

Plans are entitled "Fort Monmouth Mod Substation dated 4/21/23."

Stormwater Management Report entitled "Fort Monmouth Mod Substation dated December 2023."

The plans and documents should be updated as needed based on changes to site operation, maintenance, inspections or expansion of the facility.

### **Tools and Equipment**

- The following is a list of required inspection equipment for routine operation and maintenance procedures and inspections:
  - 1. Clipboard, pencil and inspection checklist provided within this document.
  - 2. Measurement wheel and or tape measure.
  - 3. Camera to document pre and post conditions.
  - 4. Shovel or rod to determine subsurface conditions penetrate soil.
  - 5. Hand mower and weed whacker.
- Possible heavy equipment noted below could be required, but not limited to, the following:
  - 1. Chain saw
  - 2. Stump grinder
  - 3. Wheelbarrow
  - 4. Backhoe
  - 5. Dump truck

- 6. Vacuum truck
- Safety equipment & PPE
  - 1. Boots
  - 2. Reflective Vest
  - 3. Gloves
  - 4. Safety glasses
  - 5. Sunblock
  - 6. Tick Repellent
- Maintenance staff to identify the following material sources if immediate use is warranted based on inspections.
  - 1. Native, silty sand for filling erosion rills and gullies
    - Company Name: Company Contact:
  - 2. Large rip rap stone for emergency erosion repairs

Company Name: Company Contact:

- Synthetic geo-fabric netting and stakes to prevent blowing of seeding and topsoil Company Name: Company Contact:
- 4. Topsoil mixture, seed and fertilizerCompany Name: Company Contact:
- 5. New Jersey P.E. (Contact MasTec @ 610-832-7332)

### **Specific Inspection & Maintenance**

### 1 SMALL SCALE INFILTRATION BASIN

- During establishment of side slope vegetation pruning and weeding may be required.
- Detritus may need to be removed yearly.
- Perennial planting should be cut down at the end of each growing season.
- Mulch shall be re-spread over areas that have eroded and where mulch is thin.
- Inspection of bio retention areas should occur every 6 months looking for sediment deposition, erosion, trash and debris and vegetative health.

- Check sand layer at bottom of basin to ensure no fines have made their way into the sand thus reducing its permeability.
- Clean out contributing swales as needed to ensure BMP is functioning properly.
- Clean out outlet pipe as needed to allow for drainage of BMP.

### 2 LANDSCAPE RESTORATION

- During first 3 years inspections shall occur every 6 months and after every rainfall event of 2" or greater in a 24 hour period.
- In forest restoration areas planted with proper cover crop, mowing shall be required to control invasive species in the first 3 years.
- In forest restoration areas carefully selected herbicides shall be used around protective tree shelters/tubes and reinforced by cutting/manual removal if necessary in the first 3 years.
- In forest restoration areas once tree canopy begins to form and naturally inhibits weed growth, inspections are no longer required and forest becomes self maintaining.
- In meadow restoration areas, during the first year weeds must be controlled by cutting them down to 4" height once they reach 12" in height.
- In meadow restoration areas weeds shall be monitored in the second year and any rhizomatous weeds should be hand treated with herbicides. Weeds shall not be sprayed with any herbicides.
- In meadow restoration areas at the beginning of the third year, the meadow shall be burned off in mid spring. If burning is not possible then meadow shall be mowed as close to the ground as possible. Mowed material shall be removed from the site.

### 3 CULVERTS /OUTLET STORMSEWER

- Culverts to be inspected annually at a minimum and after major storm events causing flooding.
- Culverts should be cleaned annually at a minimum if inspections determine a need.
- For culverts, sediment should be removed when it has reached to within 25% of depth of the culvert.
- Culverts should be inspected for any trash or debris that has accumulated inside them.
- Jet cleaning shall be used for cleaning of the pipes and drainage structures with difficult blockages.

### **Inspection Access Points**

- The outlet structure is a 4' wide catch basin which can be entered in order to inspect both the structure itself and the underdrain which outfall into the catch basin.
- There is a clean out placed at the end of the underdrain in order to allow for inspection and maintenance of the underdrain.
- Confined space training will be necessary for any inspector entering the outlet structure of the bio-retention basin.

#### **INSPECTION CHECKLIST**

Facility Name: Fort Monmouth Mod Substation

Maintenance Personnel:_____Date:_____
Weather Conditions:

Directions: Mark an "X" in Yes or No column.

OBSERVED ITEM	YES	NO	N/A	DIMENSIONS, LOCATIONS, COMMENTS, ETC.
Infiltration Basin				
1. Outlet structure condition				
2. Emergency Spillway condition				
3. Grass height				
4. Settlement				
5. Erosion issues				
6. Bare spots				
7. Animal/human damage				
8. Embankment seepage				
9. Drawdown Time				
Maintenance Recommendations:			1	

### MAINTENANCE CHECKLIST

Facility Name: Fort Monmouth Mod Substation

Maintenance Crew:	Date:
Weather Conditions:	

MAINTENANCE ITEM	DESCRIPTION OF WORK AND EQUIPMENT USED				
Infiltration Basin					
Landscaping					
Outlet Pipe					
Activity Notes:					

### YEARLY COST ESTIMATE FOR INSPECTION OF INFILTRATION FACILITY

ITEM	Quantity	Unit	RATE	TOTAL
Vegetation inspection	8	Month	\$100	\$800
Erosion/Seepage inspection	4	Month	\$100	\$400
Outlet Structure inspection	4	Month	\$100	\$400
Emergency spillway inspection	4	Month	\$100	\$400
Estimated Total				\$2,000

### YEARLY COST ESTIMATE FOR THE MAINTENANCE OF INFILTRATION FACILITY

ITEM	Quantity	Unit	RATE	TOTAL
Mowing & trimming of vegetation (twice a month for 8 months)	16	EA	\$300	\$4,800
Trash Removal	4	EA	\$100	\$400
Outlet Structure blockages (4 minimum plus 4 extra for storms)	8	EA	\$150	\$1200
New Vegetative Cover	6500	S.F	\$0.25	\$1625
Regrading of erosion gullies	2	EA	\$450	\$900
Estimated Total				\$8,925

The above are rough estimates and could fluctuate yearly based on weather conditions and wage rate changes. Operator should track yearly averages and adjust the above tables accordingly from year to year to reflect actual conditions/costs more accurately.

**Appendix E** 

## **FMERA-MOB** Substation

### Stormwater Management Investigation

March 29, 2023 | Terracon Project No. J6225088

**Prepared for:** 

Black & Veatch 3550 Green Court Ann Arbor MI 48105





Facilities
 Environmental
 Geotechnical

Materials

Nationwide Terracon.com



4081 Hadley Road, Suite B South Plainfield, NJ 07080 P (908) 546-6161 Terracon.com

March 29, 2023

Black & Veatch 3550 Green Court Ann Arbor MI 48105

Attn: Mr. Tom Stroup, P.E.

- P: 734-622-8862
- E: strouptj@BV.com
- Re: Stormwater Management Investigation FMERA-MOB Substation Oceanport, Monmouth County, New Jersey Terracon Project No. J6225088

Dear Mr. Stroup:

We have completed the Stormwater Management Investigation services for the above referenced project in general accordance with Terracon Change Order No. 1 dated February 6, 2023. This report presents the findings of the subsurface exploration and provides results of the in-situ infiltration testing to assist HMI Services (HMI), the permitting consultant for the project, with the design of stormwater management systems for the proposed project.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning this report or if we may be of further service, please contact us.

Sincerely,

Terracon

Gregg Furson Senior Project Manager Erich Christiansen, P.E. (NJ) Principal/Geotechnical Department Manager Stormwater Management Investigation Report FMERA-MOB Substation | Oceanport, Monmouth County, New Jersey March 29, 2023 | Terracon Project No. J6225088



### **Table of Contents**

Introduction	1
Project Description	1
Site Conditions	2
Geotechnical Characterization	2
Infiltration Test Results	3
General Comments	4

### **Attachments**

### **Exploration and Testing Procedures Site Location and Exploration Plans Exploration and Laboratory Results**

**Note:** This report was originally delivered in a web-based format. **Blue Bold** text in the report indicates a referenced section heading. The PDF version also includes hyperlinks which direct the reader to that section and clicking on the **preracon** logo will bring you back to this page. For more interactive features, please view your project online at **client.terracon.com**.

Refer to each individual Attachment for a listing of contents.

i


# Introduction

This report presents the results of our subsurface exploration and Stormwater Management Investigation services performed for the proposed FMERA-MOB Substation that will be constructed within the former Fort Monmouth facility in Oceanport, Monmouth County, New Jersey. The purpose of these services was to provide information relative to:

- Subsurface soil conditions
- Groundwater conditions
- Results of infiltration testing

The Scope of Services for this project included the excavation of 2 test pits and the performance of 2 associated infiltration tests, which were performed on March 1, 2023.

Drawings showing the site and boring locations are shown on the **Site Location** and **Exploration Plan**, respectively.

## **Project Description**

Our initial understanding of the project was provided in our proposal and was discussed during project planning. A period of collaboration has transpired since the project was initiated, and our final understanding of the project conditions is as follows:

Item	Description
Information Provided	Project information was provided via a subsurface investigation specification prepared by Black & Veatch (B&V) dated October 5, 2022.
Project Description	The project is the construction of a MOB substation. It is our understanding that a transformer, switchgear and breaker equipment will be installed. The equipment will be supported by a mat foundation. In addition, a deadend structure, as well as a possible static mast will be installed as part of the project. These structures would be supported by drilled shaft foundations.
Switchgear Load	Axial: 80 kips
Transformer Load	Axial: 200 kips
Breaker Loads	<ul> <li>Moment: 40 kip-ft</li> </ul>

#### Stormwater Management Investigation Report

FMERA-MOB Substation | Oceanport, Monmouth County, New Jersey March 29, 2023 | Terracon Project No. J6225088



Item	Description
	<ul> <li>Axial: 10 kips</li> </ul>
Deadend Load	Moment: 200 kip-ft
Static Mast Load	Moment: 150 kip-ft

It is our understanding that two underground stormwater management basins will be constructed on the west side of the site as part of the project.

Terracon should be notified if any of the above information is inconsistent with the planned construction.

## **Site Conditions**

The following description of site conditions is derived from our site visit in association with the field exploration.

Item	Description
Parcel Information	The project is located in Oceanport, Monmouth County, New Jersey.
Existing Improvements	There is an existing one-story building.
Current Ground Cover	Vegetation, brush, grass and brush

## **Geotechnical Characterization**

Two profile test pits numbered TP-1 and TP-2 were excavated to depths of approximately 9 and 8 feet below the existing ground surface, respectively. They were logged based on selected elements of the United States Department of Agriculture Soil Classification System, including soil texture, structure and consistency, as well as the Munsell color system, consistent with the guidelines promulgated in Chapter 12 of the NJDEP New Jersey Stormwater Best Management Practices Manual ("BMP Manual"), effective March 2021. The individual logs can be found in the **Exploration Results** section of this report.

### Groundwater

Our representative also observed and recorded observations regarding groundwater levels and evidence of seasonal high (soil mottling) present at the time the test pits



were completed to provide a basis for our estimate of seasonal high-water levels, which are presented on the individual logs and summarized in the table below. If more accurate water level readings or seasonal high-water table are required, we recommend performing additional deeper explorations with groundwater observation wells to monitor the groundwater over a period of time.

Test Pit ID	Depth of Test Pit (feet)	Observed Water Level Immediately After Excavating (depth, in feet)	Estimated Seasonal High Level (depth, in feet)
TP-1	9	7	Not observed ¹
TP-2	8	6.5	Not observed ¹

 Evidence of seasonal high water level (mottling) was not observed to the depths explored at these locations. Therefore, we recommend using the observed water level as seasonal high for design purposes since the test pit was performed during the NJ DEP recognized traditional wet period of the year from January to April, and direct observation can be used for design.

## **Infiltration Test Results**

A total of two double-ring infiltrometer tests were performed in companion test pits in close proximity to the two profile test pits at the locations specified by HMI, at a depth of approximately 3.5 feet below the existing ground surface. The tests were performed in general accordance with the procedures outlined in Chapter 12, NJ BMP Manual, effective March 2021. The results of the infiltration tests are tabulated below.

Test Pit ID	Test Depth (feet)	Infiltration Rate (inches/hour)
TP-1	3.5	0
TP-2	3.5	0



## **General Comments**

Our analysis and opinions are based upon our understanding of the project, the geotechnical conditions in the area, and the data obtained from our site exploration. Variations will occur between exploration point locations or due to the modifying effects of construction or weather. The nature and extent of such variations may not become evident until during or after construction.

Our Scope of Services does not include either specifically or by implication any environmental or biological (e.g., mold, fungi, bacteria) assessment of the site or identification or prevention of pollutants, hazardous materials or conditions. If the owner is concerned about the potential for such contamination or pollution, other studies should be undertaken.

Our services and any correspondence are intended for the sole benefit and exclusive use of our client for specific application to the project discussed and are accomplished in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices with no thirdparty beneficiaries intended. Any third-party access to services or correspondence is solely for information purposes to support the services provided by Terracon to our client. Reliance upon the services and any work product is limited to our client and is not intended for third parties. Any use or reliance of the provided information by third parties is done solely at their own risk. No warranties, either express or implied, are intended or made.

Site characteristics as provided are for design purposes and not to estimate excavation cost. Any use of our report in that regard is done at the sole risk of the excavating cost estimator as there may be variations on the site that are not apparent in the data that could significantly affect excavation cost. Any parties charged with estimating excavation costs should seek their own site characterization for specific purposes to obtain the specific level of detail necessary for costing. Site safety and cost estimating including excavation support and dewatering requirements/design are the responsibility of others. Construction and site development have the potential to affect adjacent properties. Such impacts can include damages due to vibration, modification of groundwater/surface water flow during construction, foundation movement due to undermining or subsidence from excavation, as well as noise or air quality concerns. Evaluation of these items on nearby properties are commonly associated with contractor means and methods and are not addressed in this report. The owner and contractor should consider a preconstruction/precondition survey of surrounding development.



Attachments



## **Exploration Procedures**

### Field Exploration

Number of Borings/Test Pits	Approximate Exploration Depth (feet)	Location
2 test pits	8 to 9	Stormwater management systems

**Test Pit Layout and Elevations:** Terracon personnel provided the boring and test pit layout using handheld GPS equipment (estimated horizontal accuracy of about  $\pm 10$  feet) and referencing existing site features. If elevations and a more precise boring layout are desired, we recommend borings be surveyed.

**Subsurface Exploration Procedures:** The test pits were excavated with a small track excavator. We observed and recorded groundwater levels at the completion of excavation. For safety purposes, the test pits were backfilled with the excavated material.



# **Site Location and Exploration Plans**

#### **Contents:**

Site Location Exploration Plan

Note: All attachments are one page unless noted above.



## **Site Location**





## **Exploration Plan**



# **Exploration and Laboratory Results**

#### **Contents:**

Test Pit Logs (TP-1 and TP-2)

Note: All attachments are one page unless noted above.





Model Layer	Graphic Log	Location: See Exploration Plan Latitude: 40.3103° Longitude: -74.0373° Depth (Ft.)		Depth (Ft.)	Water Level Observations	Sample Type
		<ul> <li>3.0</li> <li>3.5</li> <li>CLAYEY SAND (SC), Dark yellowish brown (Munsell 10YR 4/6).</li> </ul>	gravel, single grain, loose , sandy clay loam, single grain, friable		_	8 83
		<ul> <li>SANDY SILT (ML), Yellowish brown (Munsell 10YR 5/6), silt loa</li> <li>7.0</li> <li>POORLY GRADED SAND WITH STLT (SP-SM), Light olive brown (SP-SM).</li> </ul>	am, 1% gravel, single grain, firm		- - - -	C C
		9.0 Test Pit Terminated at 9 Feet	win (manacii 2.31 3/0), ioanny sana, 370 graver,		-	B
See	Explor	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory	Water Level Observations	Excavato	r	
pro See	cedures Suppo	used and additional data (If any). rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	While excavating	John Deer	e 75G	
Not	tes		Advancement Method	JT Logged E VP Test Pit S	y Starte	i
			Abandonment Method Test Pits backfilled with excavated soil.	03-01-20 Test Pit C 03-01-20	23 omplet 23	ed



# Test Pit Log No. TP-2

Model Layer	Graphic Log	Location: See Exploration Plan Latitude: 40.3101° Longitude: -74.0374°	Danth (Ft.)	7.1.1.1.1
		Depth (Ft.) 0.5 TOPSOIL, 6" FILL -, Olive Brown (Munsell 2.5Y 4/3), loamy sand, 7% gravel, single grain, loose		
		5.0 <u>CLAYEY SAND (SC)</u> , Olive Brown (Munsell 2.5Y 4/3), loamy sand, 3% gravel, single grain, friable wet at 6.5'	- 5	
		8.0 Test Pit Terminated at 8 Feet		
Sec	Evolor	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory Water Level Observations	Excave	
See proc See	Explora edures Suppoi	ation and lesting Procedures for a description of field and laboratory       water Level Observations         s used and additional data (If any).       V         rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.       While excavating	Excava John De	
Not	25	Advancement Method	Uperate IT Logged VP	1
		Abandonment Method Test Pits backfilled with excavated soil.	<b>Test Pit</b> 03-01-2 Test Pit 03-01-2	2